

New approach to N=2 supersymmetric Ruijsenaars-Schneider model

Nikolay Kozyrev

BLTP JINR, Dubna, Russia

in collaboration with S.Krivosos and O.Lechtenfeld

Contents

- Introduction
 - Ruijsenaars-Schneider models
 - Attempts of $N = 2$ supersymmetrization
- New approach
 - Supercharges and matrix power series
 - Constraints on power series
 - Equation on W
 - Solving equation on W
- Comparing supercharges
 - How different are new supercharges?
 - Property of matrix Π_{ij}
 - Equation on λ_i
 - Power series and supercharge when $c = 0$
 - Power series and supercharge when $c = \pm 1$
 - Difference in the case $c = \pm 1$
- Conclusion

Ruijsenaars-Schneider models

In the paper [Annals of Physics 170 (1986), 370-405] Ruijsenaars and Schneider, with hope of describing soliton scattering, developed a new class of integrable systems, defined by the Hamiltonian

$$H_{RS} = mc^2 \sum_i \cosh(\theta_i) \prod_{j \neq i} f(x_i - x_j), \quad \{x_i, \theta_j\} = \delta_{ij},$$

where c is the speed of light. The Hamiltonian H_{RS} forms a Poincare algebra with respect to Poisson brackets together with

$$P = mc \sum_i \sinh(\theta_i) \prod_{j \neq i} f(x_i - x_j), \quad B = -\frac{1}{c} \sum_i x_i,$$

$$\{H_{RS}, P\} = 0, \quad \{H, B\} = P, \quad \{P, B\} = H/c^2$$

if $f^2(x) = a + b\wp(x)$, where $\wp(x)$ is the Weierstrass elliptic function. This condition follows if one just requires $\{H, P\} = 0$. This condition is also sufficient for integrability of the system (we do not discuss integrals there further).

Let us also note that in the limit $c \rightarrow \infty$ this system reduces to Calogero-Moser one.

Ruijsenaars-Schneider models

One can alternatively formulate the condition of relativistic invariance as $\{S_+, S_-\} = 0$, with

$$S_{\pm} = \frac{1}{2}(H_{RS} \pm P) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_i e^{\pm\theta_i} \prod_{j \neq i} f(x_i - x_j).$$

(for further convenience, let us put $m = 1$, $c = 1$ in what follows). S_{\pm} were called by Ruijsenaars and Schneider “the lightcone Hamiltonians”. One can also use S_+ as an alternative Hamiltonian, resulting in the simple equations of motion

$$\ddot{x}_i = 2 \sum_{j \neq i}^n \dot{x}_i \dot{x}_j W(x_i - x_j), \quad W(x) = -f'(x)/f(x).$$

Another advantage of using S_+ as a Hamiltonian is that it can be rewritten in form, resembling the usual “free” Hamiltonian, at the cost of deforming Poisson brackets:

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n p_i^2, \quad p_i = e^{\theta_i} \prod_{j(\neq i)}^n \sqrt{f(x_i - x_j)},$$

$$\{x_i, p_j\} = \delta_{ij} p_j, \quad \{p_i, p_j\} = (1 - \delta_{ij}) p_i p_j W(x_i - x_j).$$

Attempts of $N = 2$ supersymmetrization

The attempts of construction of $N = 2$ supersymmetric version of the RS model involved Hamiltonian $H_{bos} = S_+ = 1/2 \sum_i p_i^2$, and a restricted set of functions W , which can be obtained from the general elliptic case in special limits

$$W(x) \in \{1/x, 1/\sin(x), 1/\sinh(x), 1/\tan(x), 1/\tanh(x)\}.$$

The $N = 2$ supersymmetrization involves construction of supercharges Q, \bar{Q} with brackets

$$\{Q, Q\} = 0, \quad \{\bar{Q}, \bar{Q}\} = 0, \quad \{Q, \bar{Q}\} = -2iH, \quad H = \frac{1}{2} \sum_i p_i^2 + \text{fermions}.$$

In [JHEP **1804** (2018) 079], Galajinsky introduced the fermions $\psi_i, \bar{\psi}_j$ with standard brackets and the supercharges at most cubic in the fermions

$$\{\psi_i, \bar{\psi}_j\} = -i\delta_{ij}, \quad \{\psi_i, \psi_j\} = 0, \quad \{\bar{\psi}_i, \bar{\psi}_j\} = 0, \quad Q = \frac{1}{2} \sum_i p_i \psi_i + \sum_{ijk} f_{ijk} \psi_i \psi_j \bar{\psi}_k.$$

and found that $\{Q, Q\} = 0$ is possible to achieve for at most 3 particles only.

In [Phys.Lett.B 807 (2020) 135545] Krivonos and Lechtenfeld proposed using simple “free” supercharges with modified brackets between the fermions

$$Q = \sum_i p_i \psi_i, \quad \bar{Q} = \sum_i p_i \bar{\psi}_i, \quad \{\psi_i, \psi_j\} = -\psi_i \psi_j W(\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_j), \quad \{\psi_i, \bar{\psi}_j\} = -i\delta_{ij} + \dots$$

Attempts of $N = 2$ supersymmetrization

The modification of brackets between the fermions allowed to compensate the term $\sum_i p_i p_j \psi_i \psi_j W(x_i - x_j)$ which appeared in the bracket $\{Q, Q\}$ due to $\{p_i, p_j\} = p_i p_j W(x_i - x_j)$. To satisfy Jacobi identities, it is required to revise other brackets:

$$\begin{aligned} \{\psi_i, \bar{\psi}_j\} &= -i\delta_{ij} + \psi_i \bar{\psi}_j W(x_i - x_j), \quad \{x_i, \psi_j\} = \{x_i, \bar{\psi}_j\} = 0, \\ \{p_i, \psi_j\} &= i/2\delta_{ij} p_i \psi_i \sum_{k \neq i} W'(x_i - x_k) \psi_k \bar{\psi}_k - i/2 p_i \psi_j \psi_i \bar{\psi}_i W'(x_i - x_j), \\ \{p_i, \bar{\psi}_j\} &= -i/2\delta_{ij} p_i \bar{\psi}_i \sum_{k \neq i} W'(x_i - x_k) \psi_k \bar{\psi}_k + i/2 p_i \bar{\psi}_j \psi_i \bar{\psi}_i W'(x_i - x_j) \end{aligned}$$

Jacobi identities and $\{Q, Q\} = 0$ hold for any $W(x)$.

Note that one can also define fermions with standard brackets:

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_i &= \psi_i \exp\left(i/2 \sum_{k \neq i} W(x_i - x_k) \psi_k \bar{\psi}_k\right) \Leftrightarrow \psi_i = \xi_i \exp\left(-i/2 \sum_{k \neq i} W(x_i - x_k) \xi_k \bar{\xi}_k\right), \\ Q &= \sum_i p_i \xi_i \exp\left(-i/2 \sum_{k \neq i} W(x_i - x_k) \xi_k \bar{\xi}_k\right), \quad \{\xi_i, \xi_j\} = \{\xi_i, p_j\} = 0, \quad \{\xi_i, \bar{\xi}_j\} = -i\delta_{ij}. \end{aligned}$$

Supercharges and matrix power series

Let us propose a bit different approach to the $N = 2$ Ruijsenaars-Schneider model. It is based on simple brackets

$$\{x_i, p_j\} = \delta_{ij} p_j, \quad \{p_i, p_j\} = p_i p_j (1 - \delta_{ij}) W(x_i - x_j), \quad \{\xi_i, \bar{\xi}_j\} = -i \delta_{ij}$$

and the following ansatz for the supercharges:

$$Q = \sum_{i,j} p_i \left(\frac{1}{1 - \Pi} \right)_{ij} \xi_j, \quad \bar{Q} = \sum_{i,j} p_i \left(\frac{1}{1 - \Pi} \right)_{ij} \bar{\xi}_j, \quad \Pi_{ij} = \frac{i}{2} (1 - \delta_{ij}) W(x_i - x_j) (\xi_i \bar{\xi}_j - \xi_j \bar{\xi}_i).$$

Here, $(1 - \Pi)^{-1}$ is matrix power series $(1 - \Pi)_{ij}^{-1} = \delta_{ij} + \Pi_{ij} + \sum_k \Pi_{ik} \Pi_{kj} + \dots$. To make the system $N = 2$ supersymmetric, the supercharges should satisfy $\{Q, Q\} = \{\bar{Q}, \bar{Q}\} = 0$.

Much like the case of [Phys.Lett.B 807 (2020) 135545], the Π_{ij} term in the power series allows to cancel the contribution of $\{p_i, p_j\}$ to $\{Q, Q\}$ in the quadratic approximation in the fermions. Then other term should be chosen to cancel quadric terms, and so on. One can examine a few first terms in the simplest case $W = 1/x$ and obtain that the matrix power series should read

$$\delta_{ij} + \Pi_{ij} + \sum_k \Pi_{ik} \Pi_{kj} + \sum_{k,l} \Pi_{ik} \Pi_{kl} \Pi_{lj} + \dots$$

Constraints on W

Studying the supercharges for general W , one can check that $\{Q, Q\} = 0$ is satisfied in the 2nd and 4th approximation in the fermions, regardless of W . However, unusually for $N = 2$ supersymmetry, the terms of 6th power in the fermions cancel only if a rather nontrivial constraint is satisfied

$$E_{[ik](jl)} = W_{ij} W_{ik} W_{il} - W_{ij} W_{il} W_{jk} + W_{ik} W_{il} W_{jk} - W_{il} W_{jk} W_{jl} - W_{ij} W_{jk} W_{kl} + \\ + W_{ij} W_{il} W_{kl} + W_{ij} W_{jk} W_{kl} - W_{ik} W_{jk} W_{kl} + W_{il} W_{jk} W_{kl} - W_{ij} W_{jl} W_{kl} = 0,$$

where $W_{ij} = W(x_i - x_j)$. One can show, however, that is actually simpler: solving together $E_{[ik](jl)} = 0$ and $E_{[jk](il)} = 0$ w.r.t. to W_{ik} and W_{il} , one finds that

$$W_{ik} = \frac{W_{ij} W_{jk} + W_{jk} W_{jl} - W_{jk} W_{kl} + W_{jl} W_{kl}}{W_{ij} + W_{jk}}, \quad W_{il} = \frac{W_{ij} W_{jl} + W_{jk} W_{jl} - W_{jk} W_{kl} + W_{jl} W_{kl}}{W_{ij} + W_{jl}},$$

$$\text{or } W_{ik} W_{ij} + W_{ik} W_{jk} + W_{ji} W_{jk} = W_{jk} W_{jl} + W_{kj} W_{kl} + W_{jl} W_{kl}$$

One can note that the left hand side of this equation depends on x_i , does not depend on x_l and the right hand side the opposite. They, therefore, should be equal to the same function of x_j, x_k . Moreover, as left hand side is symmetric with respect to mutual interchanges of x_i, x_j, x_k this function should be simply a constant.

Solving equation on W

Equation

$$W(x_i - x_j)W(x_i - x_k) + W(x_k - x_i)W(x_k - x_j) + W(x_j - x_i)W(x_j - x_k) = c = \text{const}$$

strongly restricts domain of acceptable functions W . Surprisingly, its solutions are just a rational function and trigonometric/hyperbolic cotangent, which are among those that are needed to make the model integrable. To show this, let us note that

- The only significant values of c are $-1, 0, 1$, solutions with others can be obtained by rescaling;
- This equation should restrict functional form of W and should be valid for any x_i, x_j, x_k within the domain of acceptability;
- The only solution smooth at 0 is $W = 0$. Indeed, if W is smooth and odd, $W(0) = 0$. When, if $x_j = x_k = 0$, one finds $W(x_i)^2 = c = 0$.

Therefore, let us consider supposedly smooth $\varphi(x) = 1/W(x)$ and put $x_k = 0$. Then one immediately finds

$$W(x_i - x_j) = \frac{c - W(x_i)W(x_j)}{W(x_i) - W(x_j)} \Rightarrow \varphi(x_i + x_j) = \frac{\varphi(x_i) + \varphi(x_j)}{1 + c\varphi(x_i)\varphi(x_j)}.$$

Substituting this back to the main equation, one finds that it is satisfied identically. Moreover, one can recognize in the property of φ the laws that $\tan(x)/\tanh(x)$ satisfy.

Solving equation on W

There are no more solutions, as one can derive the differential equation φ should satisfy. Taking $x_i = x$ and $x_j = \epsilon$ as an infinitesimal parameter, one can write

$$\varphi(x + \epsilon) = \varphi(x) + \epsilon \varphi'(x) + O(\epsilon^2).$$

At the same time, the equation on φ implies

$$\varphi(x + \epsilon) = \frac{\varphi(x) + \varphi(\epsilon)}{1 + c \varphi(x)\varphi(\epsilon)}.$$

Treating ϵ as an infinitesimal parameter, one notes that

$\varphi(\epsilon) = \varphi(0) + \epsilon \varphi'(0) + O(\epsilon^2) = a\epsilon + O(\epsilon^2)$. Here, $\varphi(0) = 0$, as $\varphi(x)$ is odd, and $a = \varphi'(0)$ is some constant.

$$\varphi(x + \epsilon) - \varphi(x) = \frac{\varphi(x) + \varphi(\epsilon) - \varphi(x) - c\varphi(x)^2\varphi(\epsilon)}{1 + c\varphi(x)\varphi(\epsilon)} = a\epsilon(1 - c\varphi^2(x)) + O(\epsilon^2).$$

Therefore, $\varphi(x)$ satisfies differential equation with easily obtained odd solutions

$$\varphi'(x) = a(1 - c\varphi^2(x)) \Rightarrow \begin{cases} c = 0 \Rightarrow \varphi(x) = ax \\ c = -1 \Rightarrow \varphi(x) = \tan(ax) \\ c = 1 \Rightarrow \varphi(x) = \tanh(ax) \end{cases}$$

How different are new supercharges?

The cubic condition

$$E_{[ik](jl)} = W_{ij} W_{ik} W_{il} - W_{ij} W_{il} W_{jk} + W_{ik} W_{il} W_{jk} - W_{il} W_{jk} W_{jl} - W_{ij} W_{jk} W_{kl} + \\ + W_{ij} W_{il} W_{kl} + W_{ij} W_{jk} W_{kl} - W_{ik} W_{jk} W_{kl} + W_{il} W_{jk} W_{kl} - W_{ij} W_{jl} W_{kl} = 0$$

that we already solved ensures that $\{Q, Q\} = 0$ is satisfied in the 6th approximation in the fermions, but in the case of 5 and more particles this is not enough. At the same time, we learned that domain of acceptable W 's is rather restricted, and thus should be taken into account while proving that $\{Q, Q\} = 0$. We, therefore, adopt a different approach and, instead of directly proving that $\{Q, Q\} = 0$ for any number of particles, try to relate our supercharges

$$Q = \sum_{i,j} p_i \left(\frac{1}{1 - \Pi} \right)_{ij} \xi_j, \quad \Pi_{ij} = \frac{i}{2} (1 - \delta_{ij}) W(x_i - x_j) (\xi_i \bar{\xi}_j - \xi_j \bar{\xi}_i)$$

to ones found in [Phys.Lett.B 807 (2020) 135545]

$$Q = \sum_i p_i \xi_i \exp \left(-i/2 \sum_{k \neq i} W(x_i - x_k) \xi_k \bar{\xi}_k \right).$$

Let us show that in the case of rational W they are identical, and in the case of trigonometric/hyperbolic W acquire simple fermionic modification.

Property of matrix Π_{ij}

Connection between different supercharges can be established if one notes that the matrix $\Pi_{ij} = i/2(1 - \delta_{ij})W(x_i - x_j)(\xi_i\bar{\xi}_j - \xi_j\bar{\xi}_i)$ satisfies $\xi_i\Pi_{ij}\xi_j = 0$. Moreover, it could be proven by induction that

$$\xi_i(\Pi^\alpha)_{ij}\xi_j = \frac{i}{2}\xi_i\bar{\xi}_i \sum_k W(x_i - x_k)(\Pi^{\alpha-1})_{kj}\xi_k\xi_j \Rightarrow \xi_i(\Pi^\alpha)_{ij}\xi_j = 0.$$

Therefore, the matrix power series in the supercharge

$$\sum_j (\Pi^\alpha)_{ij}\xi_j = \frac{i}{2} \sum_{j,k} W(x_i - x_k)(\xi_i\bar{\xi}_k - \xi_k\bar{\xi}_i)(\Pi^{\alpha-1})_{kj}\xi_j = -\xi_i \frac{i}{2} \sum_{j,k} W(x_i - x_k)(\Pi^{\alpha-1})_{kj}\xi_j\bar{\xi}_k$$

are proportional to ξ_i and some function of x_i and the fermions, just as in the exponential case, and one can present $\sum_j (1 - \Pi)_{ij}^{-1}\xi_j = \xi_i + \lambda_i\xi_i$ for any W . The function λ_i , in turn, is determined by the relation

$$\xi_i = \sum_{j,k} (1 - \Pi)_{ij} \left(\frac{1}{1 - \Pi} \right)_{jk} \xi_k \Rightarrow \xi_i \left(\lambda_i + \frac{i}{2} \sum_j W(x_i - x_j)\xi_j\bar{\xi}_j + \frac{i}{2} \sum_j W(x_i - x_j)\xi_j\bar{\xi}_j\lambda_j \right) = 0.$$

Equation on λ_j

The relation for λ_i can be written in more clear notation with evident formal solution

$$\sum_j (\delta_{ij} - Z_{ij}) \lambda_j = T_i, \quad Z_{ij} = -\frac{i}{2} W(x_i - x_j) \xi_j \bar{\xi}_j, \quad T_i = \sum_j Z_{ij} \Rightarrow \lambda_i = \sum_{\alpha=0}^{\infty} \sum_j (Z^\alpha)_{ij} T_j.$$

Thus λ_i , in general, is not simply a function of $i/2 \sum_k W(x_i - x_k) \xi_k \bar{\xi}_k$, but still a matrix power series. Let us recall, however, that we are interested in W 's that satisfy the equation

$$W(x_i - x_j) W(x_i - x_k) + W(x_k - x_i) W(x_k - x_j) + W(x_j - x_i) W(x_j - x_k) = c$$

As a result, Z_{ij} satisfies the relation that can be used to simplify the power series in Z_{ij} :

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_j Z_{ij} Z_{jk} &= \left(-\frac{i}{2}\right)^2 \sum_j W(x_i - x_j) W(x_j - x_k) \xi_j \bar{\xi}_j \xi_k \bar{\xi}_k = \\ &= \left(-\frac{i}{2}\right)^2 \sum_j (W(x_i - x_j) W(x_i - x_k) - W(x_i - x_k) W(x_k - x_j) - c) \xi_j \bar{\xi}_j \xi_k \bar{\xi}_k \Rightarrow \\ &\sum_j Z_{ij} Z_{jk} = (T_i - T_k) Z_{ik} + \frac{1}{4} c \xi_k \bar{\xi}_k J, \quad J = \sum_m \xi_m \bar{\xi}_m. \end{aligned}$$

Let us use it to resum $\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\infty} \sum_i (Z^\alpha)_{ij} T_j$ in the cases $c = 0$, $c = \pm 1$ separately.

Power series and supercharge for $c = 0$

In the simpler case $c = 0$, $\sum_j Z_{ij} Z_{jk} = (T_i - T_k) Z_{ik}$ and one can note that a few first terms in series $\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\infty} \sum_j (Z^\alpha)_{ij} T_j$ can be presented as functions of T_i :

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_j Z_{ij} T_j &= \sum_{j,k} Z_{ij} Z_{jk} = (T_i)^2 - \sum_k Z_{ik} T_k \Rightarrow \sum_j Z_{ij} T_j = \frac{1}{2} (T_i)^2, \\ \sum_j (Z^2)_{ij} T_j &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_j Z_{ij} (T_j)^2 = T_i \sum_k Z_{ik} T_k - \sum_k Z_{ik} (T_k)^2 \Rightarrow \\ &\sum_j Z_{ij} (T_j)^2 = \frac{1}{3} (T_i)^3, \quad \sum_j (Z^2)_{ij} T_j = \frac{1}{6} (T_i)^3. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, one can assume that $\sum_j (Z^\alpha)_{ij} T_j = f(\alpha) T_i^{\alpha+1}$ and substitute this into the relation, which follows from $\sum_j Z_{ij} Z_{jk} = (T_i - T_k) Z_{ik}$:

$$\sum_j (Z^\alpha)_{ij} T_j = \sum_{j,k} (Z^2)_{ik} (Z^{\alpha-2})_{kj} T_j = T_i \sum_j (Z^{\alpha-1})_{ij} T_j - \sum_k Z_{ik} T_k \sum_j (Z^{\alpha-2})_{kj} T_j$$

to find that $\sum_j Z_{ij} (T_j)^\alpha$ also should be known. It is not difficult to establish relation for it,

$$\sum_{j,k} Z_{ij} Z_{jk} (T_k)^\alpha = T_i \sum_k Z_{ik} (T_k)^\alpha - \sum_k Z_{ik} (T_k)^{\alpha+1}.$$

Power series and supercharge for $c = 0$

It is also safe to assume that $\sum_j Z_{ij}(T_j)^\alpha = g(\alpha)T_i^{\alpha+1}$. Then, substituting this into

$$\sum_{j,k} Z_{ij}Z_{jk}(T_k)^\alpha = T_i \sum_k Z_{ik}(T_k)^\alpha - \sum_k Z_{ik}(T_k)^{\alpha+1},$$

one finds self-sufficient iterative relation

$$g(\alpha)g(\alpha+1) = g(\alpha) - g(\alpha+1) \Rightarrow (1/g)(\alpha+1) - (1/g)(\alpha) = 1, \\ (1/g)(\alpha) = \alpha + \text{const or } g(\alpha) = 1/(\alpha+1) \text{ for } g(1) = 1/2.$$

Then the relation on $\sum_j (Z^\alpha)_{ij} T_j$ can be reduced to

$$(\alpha+1)f(\alpha) = (\alpha+1)f(\alpha-1) - f(\alpha-2) \Rightarrow f(\alpha) = \frac{1}{(1+\alpha)!}.$$

Therefore, we find the exponential solution for λ_i :

$$\lambda_i = \sum_{\alpha=0}^{\infty} \sum_j (Z^\alpha)_{ij} T_j = \sum_{\alpha=0}^{\infty} \frac{(T_i)^{\alpha+1}}{(1+\alpha)!} = e^{T_i} - 1 \text{ and, therefore,}$$

$$Q = \sum_{i,j} p_i \left(\frac{1}{1-\Pi} \right)_{ij} \xi_j = \sum_i p_i \xi_i e^{-\frac{i}{2} \sum_k W(x_i - x_k) \xi_k \bar{\xi}_k} = Q \text{ for } W(x) = \frac{1}{x}.$$

Power series and supercharge for $c = \pm 1$

Rewriting supercharge in the case $c = \pm 1$ is somewhat more difficult, as the relation on $(Z^2)_{ij}$ contains, aside of Z and T , also combination of fermions $J = \sum_m \xi_m \bar{\xi}_m$:

$$\sum_j Z_{ij} Z_{jk} = (T_i - T_k) Z_{ik} + \frac{1}{4} c J \xi_k \bar{\xi}_k.$$

Keeping in mind the previous experience, we write down the equation for $\sum_j Z_{ij} (T_j)^\alpha$

$$\sum_{j,k} Z_{ij} Z_{jk} (T_k)^\alpha = T_i \sum_j Z_{ij} (T_j)^\alpha - \sum_j Z_{ij} (T_j)^{\alpha+1} + \frac{c}{4} J \sum_k \xi_k \bar{\xi}_k (T_k)^\alpha \Rightarrow$$

$$\sum_j Z_{ij} (T_j)^\alpha = g(\alpha) (T_i)^{\alpha+1} + h(\alpha) \frac{c}{4} J \sum_k \xi_k \bar{\xi}_k (T_k)^{\alpha-1} \Rightarrow g(\alpha) = \frac{1}{\alpha+1}, h(\alpha) = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}$$

As $\sum_k \xi_k \bar{\xi}_k (T_k)^\alpha = -\frac{i}{2} \sum_{k,l} \xi_k \bar{\xi}_k W(x_k - x_l) \xi_l \bar{\xi}_l (T_k)^{\alpha-1} = -\sum_{k,l} \xi_l \bar{\xi}_l Z_{lk} (T_k)^{\alpha-1}$ and we can obtain:

$$\sum_k \xi_k \bar{\xi}_k (T_k)^\alpha = -\frac{1}{\alpha} \sum_l \xi_l \bar{\xi}_l (T_l)^\alpha - \frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha} \frac{cJ}{4} \sum_l \xi_l \bar{\xi}_l (T_l)^{\alpha-2} \Rightarrow$$

$$\sum_k \xi_k \bar{\xi}_k (T_k)^\alpha = \begin{cases} 0, & \alpha = 2\mathbb{N} + 1, \\ \frac{J}{1+\alpha} \left(-\frac{cJ^2}{4}\right)^{\alpha/2}, & \alpha = 2\mathbb{N}. \end{cases}$$

Power series and supercharge for $c = \pm 1$

With these results, one can expect that $\sum_j (Z^\alpha)_{ij} T_j$ can be represented as a function of T_i and J only:

$$\sum_j (Z^\alpha)_{ij} T_j = \sum_{\beta=0}^{\alpha+1} f(\alpha, \beta) (T_i)^{\alpha+1-\beta} J^\beta.$$

Substituting this into equation for $(Z^\alpha)_{ij} T_j$, one finds equation for $f(\alpha, \beta)$

$$\sum_j (Z^\alpha)_{ij} T_j = T_i \sum_k (Z^{\alpha-1})_{ij} T_j - \sum_k Z_{ik} T_k \sum_m (Z^{\alpha-2})_{km} T_m + \frac{c}{4} J \sum_{k,m} \xi_k \bar{\xi}_k (Z^{\alpha-2})_{km} T_m,$$

Indeed, one finds an equation for $f(\alpha, \beta)$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\beta=0}^{\alpha+1} f(\alpha, \beta) (T_i)^{\alpha+1-\beta} J^\beta &= \sum_{\beta=0}^{\alpha} f(\alpha-1, \beta) (T_i)^{\alpha+1-\beta} J^\beta - \\ &- \sum_{\beta=0}^{\alpha-1} \frac{f(\alpha-2, \beta)}{\alpha-\beta+1} (T_i)^{\alpha+1-\beta} J^\beta + \frac{cJ}{4} \sum_{\beta=0}^{\alpha-1} \frac{f(\alpha-2, \beta)}{\alpha-\beta+1} \sum_k \xi_k \bar{\xi}_k (T_k)^{\alpha-1-\beta} J^\beta. \end{aligned}$$

Counting powers of J , one can note that the last term is proportional to $J^{\alpha+1}$. This power of J can be found also only in the first term. Only first and second terms contain J^α . Thus one should consider separately terms with $J^{\alpha+1}$, J^α and J^γ , $\gamma < \alpha$.

Power series and supercharge for $c = \pm 1$

Examining the terms with J^α and J^γ , $\gamma < \alpha$, one finds

$$f(\alpha, \beta) = f(\alpha - 1, \beta) - \frac{f(\alpha - 2, \beta)}{\alpha - \beta + 1}, \quad f(\alpha, \alpha) = f(\alpha - 1, \alpha) \Rightarrow f(\alpha, \beta) = \frac{a(\beta)}{(\alpha - \beta + 1)!}.$$

The function $a(\beta)$ could only be determined by considering terms $\sim J^{\alpha+1}$. Careful analysis shows that $a(\beta) = 0$ for odd β , while for even β they are related by an equation

$$a(\beta + 2 = 2\mathbb{N}) = \sum_{\gamma=0}^{\beta/2} \frac{(-1)^{(\beta-2\gamma)/2} a(2\gamma)}{(\beta - 2\gamma + 2)!} \left(\frac{c}{4}\right)^{(\beta+2-2\gamma)/2}.$$

For any β , this allows to find $a(\beta)$ in terms of $a(\gamma < \beta)$, and, as $a(0) = 1$, find all of them. A few first of $a(\beta)$ read

$$a(0) = 1, \quad a(2) = \frac{c}{8}, \quad a(4) = \frac{5c^2}{384}, \quad a(6) = \frac{61c^3}{46080}, \quad a(8) = \frac{277c^4}{2064384}, \dots$$

It was checked up to 20th order in $T \cdot J$ that

$$\lambda_i = \sum_{\alpha=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\beta=0}^{\alpha+1} f(\alpha, \beta) (T_i)^{\alpha-\beta+1} J^\beta = e^{T_i} \cos^{-1} \left(\frac{\sqrt{c}J}{2} \right) - 1, \text{ where}$$

$$\sum (1 - \Pi)_{ij}^{-1} \xi_j = \xi_i (1 + \lambda_i), \quad T_i = -\frac{i}{2} \sum W(x_i - x_k) \xi_k \bar{\xi}_k.$$

Difference in the case $c = \pm 1$

Therefore, we find, comparing with the supercharges \mathcal{Q} obtained in [Phys.Lett.B 807 (2020) 135545],

$$Q_{rat} = \sum_i p_i \xi_i e^{-\frac{i}{2} \sum_k \xi_k \bar{\xi}_k / (x_i - x_k)} = \mathcal{Q}_{rat},$$

$$Q_{tan} = \frac{1}{\cosh\left(\frac{J}{2}\right)} \sum_i p_i \xi_i e^{-\frac{i}{2} \sum_k \cot(x_i - x_k) \xi_k \bar{\xi}_k} = \frac{1}{\cosh\left(\frac{J}{2}\right)} \mathcal{Q}_{tan},$$

$$Q_{tanh} = \frac{1}{\cos\left(\frac{J}{2}\right)} \sum_i p_i \xi_i e^{-\frac{i}{2} \sum_k \coth(x_i - x_k) \xi_k \bar{\xi}_k} = \frac{1}{\cos\left(\frac{J}{2}\right)} \mathcal{Q}_{tanh}.$$

It should be noted that appearing functions of J do not spoil supersymmetry, and this, moreover, is valid for any function $f(J)$, not only these particular functions. Indeed, one can note that $\{\xi_k \bar{\xi}_k, \xi_m \bar{\xi}_m\} = 0$. Therefore, for any function $f(J)$

$$\{\mathcal{Q}, f(J)\} = f'(J) \sum_{i,j} p_i e^{-\frac{i}{2} \sum_k W(x_i - x_k) \xi_k \bar{\xi}_k} \{\xi_i, \xi_j \bar{\xi}_j\} = if'(J)\mathcal{Q} \text{ and}$$

$$\{Q, Q\} = \{f(J)\mathcal{Q}, f(J)\mathcal{Q}\} = -2f(J)\mathcal{Q}\{Q, f(J)\} = -2if(J)f'(J)\mathcal{Q}^2 = 0.$$

Therefore, the modified supercharges $Q = f(J)\mathcal{Q}$ still form $N = 2$, $d = 1$ Poincaré superalgebra for any $f(J)$.

Conclusion

In this talk we discussed a version of $N = 2$ supersymmetric Ruijsenaars-Schneider model, based on the supercharges

$$Q = \sum_{i,j} p_i \left(\frac{1}{1-\Pi} \right)_{ij} \xi_j, \quad \bar{Q} = \sum_{i,j} p_i \left(\frac{1}{1-\Pi} \right)_{ij} \bar{\xi}_j, \quad \Pi_{ij} = \frac{i}{2}(1-\delta_{ij})W(x_i-x_j)(\xi_i\bar{\xi}_j-\xi_j\bar{\xi}_i).$$

We showed that if the $N = 2, d = 1$ superalgebra conditions $\{Q, Q\} = \{\bar{Q}, \bar{Q}\} = 0$ are satisfied, the only acceptable functions W are

$$W(x) \in \{1/x, 1/\tan(x), 1/\tanh(x)\},$$

which are among those that are needed to make the system integrable. For these particular functions $W(x)$, the supercharges coincide with those found in [Phys.Lett.B 807 (2020) 135545], modified by functions of $J = \sum_k \xi_k \bar{\xi}_k$.

It would be interesting to find the constants of motion of this system and find how the structure of obtained supercharges affects them. Another question to study is the $N = 4$ supersymmetric extension of this system.