

SU(2|1) supersymmetric spinning models of chiral superfields

Stepan Sidorov (BLTP JINR, Dubna)

RDP Online Workshop on Mathematical Physics

December 6, 2020

S. Sidorov, [arXiv:2003.01023](https://arxiv.org/abs/2003.01023) [hep-th]

Introduction

- A new class of systems of $\mathcal{N}=4$, $d=1$ supersymmetric quantum mechanics called “Kähler oscillator” was introduced by S. Bellucci and A. Nersessian (Phys. Rev. D **67** (2003) 065013; arXiv:hep-th/0401232).
- They studied supersymmetric oscillator models on Kähler manifolds with the term of the first-order in time derivatives responsible for the presence of a constant magnetic field. The bosonic Lagrangian of such system can be written as

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{bos.}} = g \dot{z} \dot{\bar{z}} + \frac{i}{2} \mathbf{B} (\dot{z} \partial_z K - \dot{\bar{z}} \partial_{\bar{z}} K) - \omega^2 g^{-1} \partial_z K \partial_{\bar{z}} K, \quad g = \partial_z \partial_{\bar{z}} K (z, \bar{z}). \quad (1)$$

SU(2|1) supersymmetric mechanics

- It turned out, the presence of oscillator term and the interaction with a magnetic field deforms the standard $\mathcal{N}=4$, $d=1$ Poincaré supersymmetry to the so-called “Weak supersymmetry” (A. Smilga, *Phys. Lett. B* **585** (2004) 173).
- We showed that the deformed superalgebra of Weak supersymmetry corresponds to the worldline supersymmetry SU(2|1) (E. Ivanov, S. Sidorov, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **31** (2014) 0750; *J. Phys. A* **47** (2014) 292002).
- We initiated a study of deformed supersymmetric quantum mechanics by employing superfield approach based on the worldline supersymmetry SU(2|1) with a mass dimension deformation parameter m .

Superalgebra

The standard definition of the superalgebra $su(2|1)$ is

$$\begin{aligned}
 \{Q^i, \bar{Q}_j\} &= 2\delta_j^i \mathcal{H} - 2mI_j^i, \\
 [\mathcal{H}, Q^k] &= -\frac{m}{2} Q^k, \quad [\mathcal{H}, \bar{Q}_l] = \frac{m}{2} \bar{Q}_l, \\
 [I_j^i, \bar{Q}_l] &= \frac{1}{2} \delta_j^i \bar{Q}_l - \delta_l^i \bar{Q}_j, \quad [I_j^i, Q^k] = \delta_j^k Q^i - \frac{1}{2} \delta_j^i Q^k, \\
 [I_j^i, I_l^k] &= \delta_j^k I_l^i - \delta_l^i I_j^k.
 \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

The indices i, j ($i = 1, 2$) are $SU(2)$ indices. The $U(1)$ generator \mathcal{H} is associated with the Hamiltonian. The generators I_j^i ($I_k^k = 0$) form $SU(2)$ symmetry. In the limit $m = 0$, models of the standard $\mathcal{N} = 4$ supersymmetric mechanics are restored with \mathcal{H} being a central charge generator.

Superalgebra

The standard definition of the superalgebra $su(2|1)$ is

$$\begin{aligned}
 \{Q^i, \bar{Q}_j\} &= 2\delta_j^i \mathcal{H}, \\
 [\mathcal{H}, Q^k] &= 0, & [\mathcal{H}, \bar{Q}_l] &= 0, \\
 [I_j^i, \bar{Q}_l] &= \frac{1}{2} \delta_j^i \bar{Q}_l - \delta_l^i \bar{Q}_j, & [I_j^i, Q^k] &= \delta_j^k Q^i - \frac{1}{2} \delta_j^i Q^k, \\
 [I_j^i, I_l^k] &= \delta_j^k I_l^i - \delta_l^i I_j^k.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

The indices i, j ($i = 1, 2$) are $SU(2)$ indices. The $U(1)$ generator \mathcal{H} is associated with the Hamiltonian. The generators I_j^i ($I_k^k = 0$) form $SU(2)$ symmetry. In the limit $m = 0$, models of the standard $\mathcal{N} = 4$ supersymmetric mechanics are restored with \mathcal{H} being a central charge generator.

$\mathcal{N} = 4$ multiplets

- Multiplets of $\mathcal{N} = 4$, $d = 1$ supersymmetry are denoted as $(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{4}, \mathbf{4} - \mathbf{k})$ with $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}, \mathbf{3}, \mathbf{4}$. These numbers correspond to the numbers of bosonic physical fields, fermionic physical fields and bosonic auxiliary fields.
- Wess-Zumino (WZ) type Lagrangians (sometimes referred as Chern-Simons) for $(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{4}, \mathbf{1})$ and $(\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{4}, \mathbf{0})$ were presented in the framework of the $\mathcal{N} = 4$, $d = 1$ harmonic superspace (E. Ivanov, O. Lechtenfeld, JHEP **0309** (2003) 073). For example, the simplest WZ Lagrangian for $(\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{4}, \mathbf{0})$ reads

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{WZ}} = \frac{i}{2} (z^i \dot{\bar{z}}_i - \dot{z}^i \bar{z}_i) + \psi^a \bar{\psi}_a, \quad i = 1, 2, \quad a = 1, 2. \quad (4)$$

- Without kinetic Lagrangian containing bosonic terms second-order in time derivatives, this Lagrangian describes a semi-dynamical multiplet. Fermionic fields become auxiliary, while bosonic fields satisfy the following Dirac brackets:

$$\{z^i, \bar{z}_j\} = i \delta_j^i. \quad (5)$$

Coupling

- Coupling of dynamical and semi-dynamical multiplets was proposed by [S. Fedoruk, E. Ivanov, O. Lechtenfeld, Phys. Rev. D **79** \(2009\) 105015](#). This idea provided harmonic superfield construction of $\mathcal{N}=4$ extension of Calogero system with the additional spin (isospin) degrees of freedom z^i, z_j .
- This work was followed by a further study of “spinning” models considering couplings of dynamical and semi-dynamical multiplets of various types ([S. Bellucci, S. Krivonos, A. Sutulin, Phys. Rev. D **81** \(2010\) 105026](#), [E. Ivanov, M. Konyushikhin, A. Smilga, JHEP **1005** \(2010\) 033](#), etc).

Spinning models of chiral superfields

- The main goal of the present talk is to employ $SU(2|1)$ superfield approach to spinning models of chiral superfields instead of harmonic ones.
- In this paper we consider the coupling of the chiral multiplet $(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{4}, \mathbf{2})$ and the semi-dynamical multiplet $(\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{4}, \mathbf{0})$ of the mirror type.
- We construct $SU(2|1)$ supersymmetric models based on this coupling, undeformed versions of which were studied by [S. Bellucci, N. Kozyrev, S. Krivonos, A. Sutulin, Phys. Rev. D **85** \(2012\) 065024.](#)

Superspace

The $SU(2|1)$ superspace is defined as a supercoset (E. Ivanov, S. Sidorov, J. Phys. A **47** (2014) 292002):

$$\frac{SU(2|1)}{SU(2)} \sim \frac{\{\mathcal{H}, Q^i, \bar{Q}_j, I_j^i\}}{\{I_j^i\}} = \{t, \theta_i, \bar{\theta}^j\}. \quad (6)$$

It has a chiral subspace identified with the coset

$$\frac{\{\mathcal{H}, Q^i, \bar{Q}_j, I_j^i\}}{\{\bar{Q}_j, I_j^i\}} = \{t_L, \theta_i\}. \quad (7)$$

The chiral condition reads

$$\bar{\mathcal{D}}_j \Phi(t_L, \theta_i) = 0, \quad (8)$$

where $\bar{\mathcal{D}}_j$ and \mathcal{D}_j are $SU(2|1)$ covariant derivatives.

Generalized chiral superspace

A generalization of the chiral subspace is identified with the coset

$$\frac{\{\mathcal{H}, \hat{Q}^i, \bar{\hat{Q}}_j, I_j^i\}}{\{\bar{\hat{Q}}_j, I_j^i\}} = \{\hat{t}_L, \hat{\theta}_i\}, \quad (9)$$

where

$$\hat{Q}^i = \cos \lambda Q^i - \sin \lambda \bar{Q}^i, \quad \bar{\hat{Q}}_j = \cos \lambda \bar{Q}_j + \sin \lambda Q_j. \quad (10)$$

The generalized chiral condition reads

$$(\cos \lambda \bar{\mathcal{D}}_j - \sin \lambda \mathcal{D}_j) \Phi(\hat{t}_L, \hat{\theta}_i) = 0. \quad (11)$$

Generalized chiral multiplet

- The generalized chiral condition describes a new type of the chiral multiplet $(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{4}, \mathbf{2})$ defined on the generalized chiral superspace and depending on two deformation parameters: m, λ .
- Exactly this multiplet is a basis for the construction of **supersymmetric Kähler oscillator** models with the frequency of oscillator $\omega = m \sin 2\lambda / 2$ and the strength of an external magnetic field $\mathbf{B} = m \cos 2\lambda$.
- Both parameters disappear in the limit $m = 0$ and the rotation parameter λ becomes just an external automorphism parameter of the standard $\mathcal{N} = 4$ Poincaré supersymmetry.

Superfield action

The chiral superfield is solved by

$$\Phi \left(\hat{t}_L, \hat{\theta}_j \right) = z + \sqrt{2} \hat{\theta}_k \xi^k + \hat{\theta}_k \hat{\theta}^k B, \quad (12)$$

where

$$\hat{t}_L = t + i \bar{\theta}^k \hat{\theta}_k, \quad \hat{\theta}_i = \left(\cos \lambda \theta_i e^{\frac{i}{2} m t} + \sin \lambda \bar{\theta}_i e^{-\frac{i}{2} m t} \right) \left(1 - \frac{m}{2} \bar{\theta}^k \theta_k \right). \quad (13)$$

Superfield invariant action for the chiral superfield Φ is given by

$$S_{\text{kin.}} = \frac{1}{4} \int dt d^2 \theta d^2 \bar{\theta} (1 + 2m \bar{\theta}^k \theta_k) K (\Phi, \bar{\Phi}). \quad (14)$$

where $K (\Phi, \bar{\Phi})$ is a Kähler potential. Superpotential is given by the standard superfield action

$$S_{\text{pot.}} = \int d\hat{t}_L d^2 \hat{\theta} f (\Phi) + \int d\hat{t}_R d^2 \bar{\hat{\theta}} \bar{f} (\bar{\Phi}). \quad (15)$$

Mirror multiplets

- The standard $\mathcal{N} = 4$ multiplets have their mirror counterparts characterized by the interchange of two $SU(2)$ groups which form $SU(2) \times SU'(2) \rightarrow SO(4)$ automorphism group of the standard $\mathcal{N} = 4$ Poincaré supersymmetry [E. Ivanov, J. Niederle, Phys. Rev. D **80** (2009) 065027].
- Since this interchange $(i, j \longleftrightarrow i', j')$ has no essential impact on Poincaré supersymmetry, $\mathcal{N} = 4$ multiplets and their mirror counterparts are mutually equivalent when dealing with only one multiplet from such a pair.
- Deformation to $SU(2|1)$ supersymmetry breaks the equivalence, because the first $SU(2)$ group becomes subgroup of $SU(2|1)$ and the second group $SU'(2)$ is broken. It means that $SU(2|1)$ multiplets differ from their mirror counterparts.

Multiplet (4, 4, 0)

First, let us consider the ordinary multiplet (4, 4, 0) satisfying the constraints

$$\mathcal{D}^{(i} q^{j)a} = 0, \quad \bar{\mathcal{D}}^{(i} q^{j)a} = 0, \quad \overline{(q^{ia})} = q_{ia}, \quad a = 1, 2. \quad (16)$$

One can rewrite these constraints as

$$\mathcal{D}_{i'}^{(i} q^{j)a} = 0, \quad (17)$$

where the covariant derivatives are written via $SU(2) \times SU'(2)$ indices as

$$\mathcal{D}^i := \mathcal{D}^{i1'} = -\mathcal{D}_{2'}^i, \quad \bar{\mathcal{D}}^i := \mathcal{D}^{i2'} = \mathcal{D}_{1'}^i, \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathcal{D}^{ii'} \quad (18)$$

Mirror multiplet (4, 4, 0)

Hence, its mirror counterpart is written as

$$\mathcal{D}^{i(i'Y^{j')A} = 0, \quad \overline{(Y^{i'A})} = Y_{i'A}, \quad A = 1, 2. \quad (19)$$

The superfield $Y^{i'A}$ can be denoted as $Y^A = Y^{2'A}$, $\bar{Y}^A = -Y^{1'A}$ that leads to the constraints

$$\bar{\mathcal{D}}_i Y^A = 0, \quad \mathcal{D}^i \bar{Y}^A = 0, \quad \mathcal{D}_i Y^A = \bar{\mathcal{D}}_i \bar{Y}^A, \quad \overline{(Y^A)} = \bar{Y}_A. \quad (20)$$

One can see that first two constraints are (anti)chiral conditions describing the pair of chiral multiplets $(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{4}, \mathbf{2}) \oplus (\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{4}, \mathbf{2})$. The third constraint kills the half of component fields leaving the field content to be $(\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{4}, \mathbf{0})$.

Generalized mirror multiplet (4, 4, 0)

In order to couple the mirror (4, 4, 0) and generalized chiral multiplets, we consider the generalized constraints

$$\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_i Y^A = 0, \quad \tilde{\mathcal{D}}^i \bar{Y}^A = 0, \quad \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_i Y^A = \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_i \bar{Y}^A, \quad \overline{(Y^A)} = \bar{Y}_A, \quad (21)$$

where

$$\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_i = \cos \lambda \bar{\mathcal{D}}_i - \sin \lambda \mathcal{D}_i, \quad \tilde{\mathcal{D}}^i = \cos \lambda \mathcal{D}^i + \sin \lambda \bar{\mathcal{D}}^i. \quad (22)$$

Their solution reads

$$Y^A \left(\hat{t}_L, \hat{\theta}_i \right) = y^A + \sqrt{2} \hat{\theta}_i \psi^{iA} + i \hat{\theta}_k \hat{\theta}^k \dot{y}^A, \quad \overline{(y^A)} = \bar{y}_A, \quad \overline{(\psi^{iA})} = \psi_{iA}. \quad (23)$$

Superpotential

The superpotential action

$$S_{\text{pot.}} = \frac{\mu}{2} \int d\hat{t}_L d^2\hat{\theta} h(Y^A) + \frac{\mu}{2} \int d\hat{t}_R d^2\bar{\hat{\theta}} \bar{h}(\bar{Y}_A), \quad (24)$$

yields Wess-Zumino type Lagrangian:

$$S_{\text{pot.}} = \int dt \mathcal{L}_{\text{WZ}}, \quad \mathcal{L}_{\text{WZ}} = \mu \left[i \dot{y}^A \partial_A h(y^A) + \frac{1}{2} \psi^{iA} \psi_i^B \partial_A \partial_B h(y^A) + \text{c.c.} \right]. \quad (25)$$

Gauged mirror multiplet (4, 4, 0)

We can also assume that the chiral superfields Y^A are subjected to the local U(1) transformations

$$(Y^1)' = e^{\frac{1}{2}(\Lambda - \bar{\Lambda})} Y^1, \quad (Y^2)' = e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\Lambda - \bar{\Lambda})} Y^2, \quad (26)$$

where $\Lambda := \Lambda(\hat{t}_L, \hat{\theta}_i)$, $\bar{\Lambda} := \bar{\Lambda}(\hat{t}_R, \hat{\theta}^j)$. The superfields then satisfy the new gauge invariant constraints

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\bar{\mathcal{D}}^i + \frac{1}{2} \left[\bar{\mathcal{D}}^i, X \right] \right) Y^1 &= 0, & \left(\bar{\mathcal{D}}^i - \frac{1}{2} \left[\bar{\mathcal{D}}^i, X \right] \right) Y^2 &= 0, & \text{c.c.}, \\ \left(\tilde{\mathcal{D}}^i - \frac{1}{2} \left[\tilde{\mathcal{D}}^i, X \right] \right) Y^1 &= \left(\bar{\mathcal{D}}^i + \frac{1}{2} \left[\bar{\mathcal{D}}^i, X \right] \right) \bar{Y}^1, \\ \left(\tilde{\mathcal{D}}^i + \frac{1}{2} \left[\tilde{\mathcal{D}}^i, X \right] \right) Y^2 &= \left(\bar{\mathcal{D}}^i - \frac{1}{2} \left[\bar{\mathcal{D}}^i, X \right] \right) \bar{Y}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

where the real superfield X is a gauge superfield transforming as

$$X' = X + \Lambda + \bar{\Lambda}. \quad (28)$$

Gauge superfield

The superfield X satisfies the additional gauge invariant constraint

$$\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{(i} \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{j)} X = 0. \quad (29)$$

It is solved by

$$\begin{aligned} X(t, \hat{\theta}_i, \bar{\hat{\theta}}^j) &= x + \sqrt{2} \left(\hat{\theta}_k \bar{\chi}^k + \bar{\hat{\theta}}^k \chi_k \right) + 2 \bar{\hat{\theta}}_k \hat{\theta}^k \mathcal{A} + \hat{\theta}_k \hat{\theta}^k D + \bar{\hat{\theta}}^k \bar{\hat{\theta}}_k \bar{D} \\ &\quad + \sqrt{2} i \bar{\hat{\theta}}^k \hat{\theta}_k \left(\hat{\theta}_i \dot{\chi}^i - \bar{\hat{\theta}}^i \dot{\chi}_i \right) - \frac{1}{4} \hat{\theta}_i \hat{\theta}^i \bar{\hat{\theta}}^j \bar{\hat{\theta}}_j \ddot{x}, \\ \overline{(x)} &= x, \quad \overline{(\mathcal{A})} = \mathcal{A}, \quad \overline{(D)} = \bar{D}, \quad \overline{(\chi^i)} = \bar{\chi}_i. \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

This superfield describes the mirror multiplet $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{4}, \mathbf{3})$ that differs from the ordinary one because of the deformation. Using the $U(1)$ gauge freedom $X' = X + \Lambda + \bar{\Lambda}$, we can choose the WZ gauge:

$$X_{\text{WZ}} = 2 \bar{\hat{\theta}}^k \hat{\theta}_k \mathcal{A}, \quad \mathcal{A}'(t) = \mathcal{A}(t) - \dot{\alpha}(t). \quad (31)$$

Triplet

One can introduce accompanying chiral superfields

$$\mathcal{V}_{\text{WZ}}(\hat{t}_{\text{L}}, \hat{\theta}_i) = \hat{\theta}_k \hat{\theta}^k \mathcal{A}, \quad \bar{\mathcal{V}}_{\text{WZ}}(\hat{t}_{\text{R}}, \hat{\bar{\theta}}^j) = \hat{\bar{\theta}}^k \hat{\bar{\theta}}_k \mathcal{A}, \quad (32)$$

satisfying

$$\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_i X_{\text{WZ}} = \bar{\tilde{\mathcal{D}}}_i \bar{\mathcal{V}}_{\text{WZ}}, \quad \bar{\tilde{\mathcal{D}}}_i X_{\text{WZ}} = -\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_i \mathcal{V}_{\text{WZ}}. \quad (33)$$

These superfields can be combined in the form a triplet superfield $\mathcal{V}^{i'j'} \equiv \mathcal{V}^{(i'j')}$ as

$$\mathcal{V}^{i'j'} = \hat{\theta}^{k(i'} \hat{\theta}^{j')} \mathcal{A}, \quad \tilde{\mathcal{D}}^i (i' \mathcal{V}^{j'k'}) = 0. \quad (34)$$

Thus, it can be interpreted as a mirror counterpart of the “topological” gauge multiplet described by the harmonic superfield V^{++} in the WZ gauge [F. Delduc, E. Ivanov, Nucl. Phys. B **753** (2006) 211-241; Nucl. Phys. B **770** (2007) 179-205].

Solution

According to the (anti)chiral conditions, the superfield solution is modified as

$$\begin{aligned} Y^1(t, \hat{\theta}_i, \bar{\hat{\theta}}^j) &= e^{-\frac{\mathcal{X}}{2}} Y_L^1(\hat{t}_L, \hat{\theta}_i), & (Y_L^1)' &= e^\Lambda Y_L^1, \\ Y^2(t, \hat{\theta}_i, \bar{\hat{\theta}}^j) &= e^{\frac{\mathcal{X}}{2}} Y_L^2(\hat{t}_L, \hat{\theta}_i), & (Y_L^2)' &= e^{-\Lambda} Y_L^2. \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

Solving the additional constraint the left chiral superfield Y_L^A has the following θ -expansion:

$$Y_L^A(\hat{t}_L, \hat{\theta}_i) = y^A + \sqrt{2} \hat{\theta}_i \psi^{iA} + i \hat{\theta}_k \hat{\theta}^k \nabla_t \bar{y}^A, \quad \nabla_t \bar{y}^1 = (\partial_t + i\mathcal{A}) \bar{y}^1, \quad \nabla_t \bar{y}^2 = (\partial_t - i\mathcal{A}) \bar{y}^2. \quad (36)$$

Finally, the superpotential action must be written as a function of the only possible invariant $Y_L^1 Y_L^2$, since it is the only gauge invariant object defined on the left chiral subspace.

Coupling of dynamical and semi-dynamical multiplets

The simplest superpotential term of interacting multiplets reads

$$S_{\text{int.}} = \frac{\mu}{2} \int dt_{\text{L}} d^2\hat{\theta} Y_{\text{L}}^1 Y_{\text{L}}^2 f(\Phi) - \frac{\mu}{2} \int dt_{\text{R}} d^2\hat{\bar{\theta}} \bar{Y}_{\text{R}}^1 \bar{Y}_{\text{R}}^2 \bar{f}(\bar{\Phi}), \quad (37)$$

where f is an arbitrary holomorphic function of Φ . The Lagrangian is then given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\text{int.}} = & \mu \left[i (y^1 \nabla_t \bar{y}_1 - y^2 \nabla_t \bar{y}_2) f + \psi^{i1} \psi_i^2 f + B y^1 y^2 \partial_z f \right. \\ & \left. + \xi^i (\psi_{i1} y^1 - \psi_{i2} y^2) \partial_z f - \frac{\xi_i \xi^i}{2} y^1 y^2 \partial_z \partial_z f + \text{c.c.} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

Fayet-Iliopoulos term can be constructed as

$$S_{\text{FI}} = -\frac{c}{4} \left[\int dt_{\text{L}} d^2\hat{\theta} \mathcal{V}_{\text{WZ}} + \int dt_{\text{R}} d^2\hat{\bar{\theta}} \bar{\mathcal{V}}_{\text{WZ}} \right] \Rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{\text{FI}} = -c \mathcal{A}, \quad c = \text{const.} \quad (39)$$

Total Lagrangian

- We consider the model of the dynamical multiplet $(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{4}, \mathbf{2})$ interacting with the semi-dynamical multiplet $(\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{4}, \mathbf{0})$. The total Lagrangian is written as

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{\text{kin.}} + \mathcal{L}_{\text{int.}} + \mathcal{L}_{\text{FI}}. \quad (40)$$

- Eliminating the auxiliary fields B and ψ^{iA} by their equations of motion and performing the following redefinition

$$\begin{aligned} y^1 &= v (f + \bar{f})^{-\frac{1}{2}}, & y^2 &= \bar{w} (f + \bar{f})^{-\frac{1}{2}}, & \xi^i &= g^{-\frac{1}{2}} \eta^i, \\ \bar{y}_1 &= \bar{v} (f + \bar{f})^{-\frac{1}{2}}, & \bar{y}_2 &= w (f + \bar{f})^{-\frac{1}{2}}, & \bar{\xi}_j &= g^{-\frac{1}{2}} \bar{\eta}_j, \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

we obtain the total on-shell Lagrangian \mathcal{L} .

Component Lagrangian

Component Lagrangian reads

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L} = & g \dot{z}\dot{z} + \frac{i}{2} (\eta^i \dot{\eta}_i - \dot{\eta}^i \bar{\eta}_i) + \frac{i}{2} \mu (v\dot{v} + w\dot{w} - \dot{v}\bar{v} - \dot{w}\bar{w}) + \frac{i\mu (\dot{z} \partial_{\bar{z}} \bar{f} - \dot{z} \partial_z f)}{2(f + \bar{f})} (v\bar{v} - w\bar{w}) \\
& + \frac{i}{2} (\dot{z} \partial_{\bar{z}} g - \dot{z} \partial_z g) g^{-1} \eta^k \bar{\eta}_k - \frac{\partial_z f \partial_{\bar{z}} \bar{f}}{g (f + \bar{f})^2} [\mu (v\bar{v} - w\bar{w}) \eta^k \bar{\eta}_k + \mu^2 w\bar{w} v\bar{v}] \\
& - \frac{\mu v\bar{w}}{g (f + \bar{f})} \left[\frac{\partial_z \partial_z f}{2} - \frac{\partial_z f \partial_z f}{(f + \bar{f})} - \frac{\partial_z f}{2} g^{-1} \partial_z g \right] \eta_i \eta^i \\
& - \frac{\mu w\bar{v}}{g (f + \bar{f})} \left[\frac{\partial_{\bar{z}} \partial_{\bar{z}} \bar{f}}{2} - \frac{\partial_{\bar{z}} \bar{f} \partial_{\bar{z}} \bar{f}}{(f + \bar{f})} - \frac{\partial_{\bar{z}} \bar{f}}{2} g^{-1} \partial_{\bar{z}} g \right] \bar{\eta}^j \bar{\eta}_j \\
& + \frac{1}{4g^2} (\partial_z \partial_{\bar{z}} g - g^{-1} \partial_z g \partial_{\bar{z}} g) \eta_i \eta^i \bar{\eta}^j \bar{\eta}_j + [\mu (v\bar{v} + w\bar{w}) - c] \mathcal{A} + \mathcal{L}_m, \tag{42}
\end{aligned}$$

Component Lagrangian

where \mathcal{L}_m is a deformed part:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{L}_m &= \frac{i}{2} m \cos 2\lambda (\dot{z} \partial_z K - \dot{\bar{z}} \partial_{\bar{z}} K) - \frac{(m \sin 2\lambda)^2}{4g} \partial_z K \partial_{\bar{z}} K \\
 &+ \frac{m \sin 2\lambda}{4g} [(\partial_z \partial_z K - g^{-1} \partial_z K \partial_z g) \eta_i \eta^i + (\partial_{\bar{z}} \partial_{\bar{z}} K - g^{-1} \partial_{\bar{z}} K \partial_{\bar{z}} g) \bar{\eta}^j \bar{\eta}_j] \\
 &+ \frac{m \sin 2\lambda}{2g (f + \bar{f})} (\mu w \bar{v} \partial_z f \partial_{\bar{z}} K + \mu v \bar{w} \partial_{\bar{z}} \bar{f} \partial_z K) - \frac{m \cos 2\lambda}{2} \eta^k \bar{\eta}_k.
 \end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

Indeed, it depends on two deformation parameters:

$$\mathbf{B} = m \cos 2\lambda, \quad \omega = \frac{m \sin 2\lambda}{2}. \tag{44}$$

The U(1) gauge field \mathcal{A} plays the role of a Lagrange multiplier enforcing the constraint

$$\mu (v \bar{v} + w \bar{w}) - c = 0. \tag{45}$$

Classical mechanics

Classical Hamiltonian reads

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{H} = & g^{-1} P_z P_{\bar{z}} - \frac{1}{4} (\partial_z \partial_{\bar{z}} g - g^{-1} \partial_z g \partial_{\bar{z}} g) g^{-2} \eta_i \eta^i \bar{\eta}^j \bar{\eta}_j + \frac{2 \partial_z f \partial_{\bar{z}} \bar{f} S_3}{g (f + \bar{f})^2} \eta^k \bar{\eta}_k + \frac{m \cos 2\lambda}{2} \eta^k \bar{\eta}_k \\
 & + \frac{S_+}{g (f + \bar{f})} \left[\frac{\partial_z \partial_z f}{2} - \frac{\partial_z f \partial_z f}{(f + \bar{f})} - \frac{\partial_z f}{2} g^{-1} \partial_z g \right] \eta_k \eta^k \\
 & + \frac{S_-}{g (f + \bar{f})} \left[\frac{\partial_{\bar{z}} \partial_{\bar{z}} \bar{f}}{2} - \frac{\partial_{\bar{z}} \bar{f} \partial_{\bar{z}} \bar{f}}{(f + \bar{f})} - \frac{\partial_{\bar{z}} \bar{f}}{2} g^{-1} \partial_{\bar{z}} g \right] \bar{\eta}^k \bar{\eta}_k \\
 & - \frac{m \sin 2\lambda}{4} [(\partial_z \partial_z K - g^{-1} \partial_z K \partial_z g) g^{-1} \eta_i \eta^i + (\partial_{\bar{z}} \partial_{\bar{z}} K - g^{-1} \partial_{\bar{z}} K \partial_{\bar{z}} g) g^{-1} \bar{\eta}^j \bar{\eta}_j] \\
 & + g^{-1} \left[\frac{\partial_z f S_+}{(f + \bar{f})} - \frac{m \sin 2\lambda}{2} \partial_z K \right] \left[\frac{\partial_{\bar{z}} \bar{f} S_-}{(f + \bar{f})} - \frac{m \sin 2\lambda}{2} \partial_{\bar{z}} K \right], \tag{46}
 \end{aligned}$$

Classical mechanics

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 S_3 &= \frac{\mu}{2} (v\bar{v} - w\bar{w}), & S_+ &= \mu v\bar{w}, & S_- &= \mu w\bar{v}, \\
 P_z &= p_z - \frac{i}{2} m \cos 2\lambda \partial_z K + \frac{i \partial_z f S_3}{(f + \bar{f})} + \frac{i}{2} g^{-1} \partial_z g \eta^i \bar{\eta}_i, \\
 P_{\bar{z}} &= p_{\bar{z}} + \frac{i}{2} m \cos 2\lambda \partial_{\bar{z}} K - \frac{i \partial_{\bar{z}} \bar{f} S_3}{(f + \bar{f})} - \frac{i}{2} g^{-1} \partial_{\bar{z}} g \eta^j \bar{\eta}_j.
 \end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

Poisson (Dirac) brackets are imposed as

$$\begin{aligned}
 \{p_z, z\} &= -1, & \{p_{\bar{z}}, \bar{z}\} &= -1, & \{\eta^i, \bar{\eta}_j\} &= -i\delta_j^i, \\
 \{v, \bar{v}\} &= i\mu^{-1}, & \{w, \bar{w}\} &= i\mu^{-1}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

SU(2|1) generators

SU(2|1) supercharges are

$$Q^i = \sqrt{2} e^{\frac{i}{2}mt} g^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left\{ \cos \lambda \eta^i \left[P_z - \frac{i}{2} (1 - \cos 2\lambda) m \partial_z K \right] - \sin \lambda \bar{\eta}^i \left[P_{\bar{z}} - \frac{i}{2} (1 + \cos 2\lambda) m \partial_{\bar{z}} K \right] - \frac{i}{(f + \bar{f})} (\cos \lambda \partial_{\bar{z}} \bar{f} S_- \bar{\eta}^i - \sin \lambda \partial_z f S_+ \eta^i) \right\}, \quad (49)$$

$$\bar{Q}_j = \sqrt{2} e^{-\frac{i}{2}mt} g^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left\{ \cos \lambda \bar{\eta}_j \left[P_{\bar{z}} + \frac{i}{2} (1 - \cos 2\lambda) m \partial_{\bar{z}} K \right] + \sin \lambda \eta_j \left[P_z + \frac{i}{2} (1 + \cos 2\lambda) m \partial_z K \right] - \frac{i}{(f + \bar{f})} (\cos \lambda \partial_z f S_+ \eta_j + \sin \lambda \partial_{\bar{z}} \bar{f} S_- \bar{\eta}_j) \right\}. \quad (50)$$

SU(2) subgroup generators are written as

$$I_j^i = \eta^i \bar{\eta}_j - \frac{\delta_j^i}{2} \eta^k \bar{\eta}_k. \quad (51)$$

SU(2) group of spin variables

The generators S_3 and S_{\pm} , written through spin variables as

$$S_3 = \frac{\mu}{2} (v\bar{v} - w\bar{w}), \quad S_+ = \mu v\bar{w}, \quad S_- = \mu w\bar{v}, \quad (52)$$

form the $su(2)$ algebra:

$$\{S_3, S_{\pm}\} = \mp iS_{\pm}, \quad \{S_+, S_-\} = -2iS_3. \quad (53)$$

The Hamiltonian commutes with the Casimir operator

$$C_{\text{SU}(2)} = S_+S_- + (S_3)^2. \quad (54)$$

SU(2) group of spin variables

According to the constraint

$$\mu (v\bar{v} + w\bar{w}) - c = 0, \quad (55)$$

the Casimir operator is determined by the constant

$$C_{\text{SU}(2)} = \frac{\mu^2 (v\bar{v} + w\bar{w})^2}{4} = \frac{c^2}{4}. \quad (56)$$

Its quantum counterpart (up to the ordering ambiguity) is given by ($c \approx 2s$)

$$C_{\text{SU}(2)} = s(s+1), \quad (57)$$

where s is a spin of the quantum system.

Conclusions

- We proposed new models of $SU(2|1)$ supersymmetric mechanics with the use of dynamical and gauged semi-dynamical multiplets. As an alternative of harmonic superspace, we exploited the generalized chiral superspace.

Conclusions

- We proposed new models of $SU(2|1)$ supersymmetric mechanics with the use of dynamical and gauged semi-dynamical multiplets. As an alternative of harmonic superspace, we exploited the generalized chiral superspace.
- We considered in details the deformed model on the pseudo-sphere $SU(1,1)/U(1)$ (Lobachevsky space) with the corresponding metric $g = (1 - \gamma z\bar{z})^{-2}$ and the special choice of $f(z)$.

Conclusions

- We proposed new models of $SU(2|1)$ supersymmetric mechanics with the use of dynamical and gauged semi-dynamical multiplets. As an alternative of harmonic superspace, we exploited the generalized chiral superspace.
- We considered in details the deformed model on the pseudo-sphere $SU(1,1)/U(1)$ (Lobachevsky space) with the corresponding metric $g = (1 - \gamma z\bar{z})^{-2}$ and the special choice of $f(z)$.
- In distinct from [S. Bellucci, N. Kozyrev, S. Krivonos, A. Sutulin, *Phys. Rev. D* **85** (2012) 065024], the symmetry $SU(1,1) \times U(1)$ of the model is broken. We can define only the remaining $U(1) \subset SU(1,1)$ generator J_3 that commutes with all $SU(2|1)$ generators. However, one can restore this symmetry in the limit $\lambda = 0$.

Conclusions

- We tried to obtain superconformal symmetry for considered models, but it is impossible at least for a single-particle model. Superconformal models can possibly be obtained for two or more chiral dynamical multiplets.
- It would be interesting to study mirror counterparts of the multiplets $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{4}, \mathbf{3})$ and $(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{4}, \mathbf{1})$. The latter one is described by a triplet consisting of real and chiral superfields, *i.e.* X , \mathcal{V} and $\bar{\mathcal{V}}$.
- One can try to couple the mirror multiplets $(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{4}, \mathbf{1})$ and $(\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{4}, \mathbf{0})$ in $SU(2|1)$ chiral superspace.

Conclusions

- We tried to obtain superconformal symmetry for considered models, but it is impossible at least for a single-particle model. Superconformal models can possibly be obtained for two or more chiral dynamical multiplets.
- It would be interesting to study mirror counterparts of the multiplets $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{4}, \mathbf{3})$ and $(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{4}, \mathbf{1})$. The latter one is described by a triplet consisting of real and chiral superfields, *i.e.* X , Φ and $\bar{\Phi}$.
- One can try to couple the mirror multiplets $(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{4}, \mathbf{1})$ and $(\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{4}, \mathbf{0})$ in $SU(2|1)$ chiral superspace.

Thank you for your attention!