

Neutron decay anomalies as a window to the BSM physics

Zurab Berezhiani

Summary

Neutron Lifetim Problem

Backup

# Neutron decay anomalies as a window to the BSM physics

Zurab Berezhiani

University of L'Aquila and LNGS

RDP 7th Autumn Workshop, TSU, Tbilisi, 26-28 Sept. 2019





### Contents

Neutron decay anomalies as a window to the BSM physics

### Summary



### 1 Neutron Lifetime Problem

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

2 Backup



### Since 1932, neutrons make 50% of mass in our bodies ...

Neutron decay anomalies as a window to the BSM physics

Zurab Berezhiani

Summary

Neutron Lifetime Problem

Backup

Neutrons are stable in basic nuclei but decay in free state:  $n\to pe\bar\nu_e$  ... and in some ( $\beta^-$  unstable) nuclei

... or can be even created in other ( $\beta^+$  unstable) nuclei

Fermi V-A Theory – Standard Model (SM) conserving baryon number  $\frac{G_V}{\sqrt{2}} \overline{u}(1-\gamma^5)\gamma^{\mu}d \ \overline{\nu}_e(1-\gamma^5)\gamma_{\mu}e + \text{h.c.}$   $\frac{G_V}{\sqrt{2}} \overline{p}(1-g_A\gamma^5)\gamma^{\mu}n \ \overline{\nu}_e(1-\gamma^5)\gamma_{\mu}e + \text{h.c.}$   $G_V = G_F |V_{ud}| \text{ (CVC) } \& g_A \simeq 1 \text{ (PCAC)}$ 

Yet, we do not know well enough its decay features and lifetime



## The Neutron Lifetime In Standard Model

Neutron decay anomalies as a window to the BSM physics

Zurab Berezhiani

Summary

Neutron Lifetime Problem

Backup

 $G_V = G_F |V_{ud}|$  determined from superallowed  $0^+ - 0^+$  nuclear decays (pure Fermi  $\beta^+$  transitions independent of  $g_A$ )

 $G_V^2 = rac{\kappa}{2 \mathcal{F} t \left(1 + \Delta_R^V\right)}$   $K = 2\pi^3 \ln 2/m_e^5 = 8120.2776(9) \times 10^{-10} \text{ s/GeV}^4$ 

Ft = 3072.07(72) s (transition independent) obtained from *ft* values by including long range QED corrections (depend on nucleus)

Short-distance (transition independent) electroweak corrections  $\Delta_R^V = 2.361(38) \ \% -$  Marciano Sirlin 2006  $\Delta_R^V = 2.467(22) \ \% -$  Seng et al. 2018

– important for  $|\textit{V}_{\textit{ud}}|$  determination taking  $\textit{G}_{\textit{F}}=\textit{G}_{\mu}$  from muon decay

Neutron (free) decay time: 
$$au_n = rac{K/\ln 2}{G_V^2 (1+3g_A^2) f_n (1+\delta_R')(1+\Delta_R^V)}$$

 $f_n = 1.6887(1)$  phase space factor,  $\delta'_R = 1.402(2)$  % long distance QED Plugging superallowed  $G_V$ ,  $\Delta^V_R$  and K cancel out:

$$\tau_n = \frac{2\mathcal{F}t}{\ln 2\mathcal{F}_n(1+3g_A^2)} = \frac{5172.0(1.1) \text{ s}}{1+3g_A^2}$$



### $\tau_n$ vs. $\beta$ -asymmetry



Zurab Berezhiani

Summary

Neutron Lifetime Problem

Backup



< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

So experimentally we have  $au_{ ext{trap}} = au_n^{ ext{SM}} < au_{ ext{beam}}$ 



### Two methods to measure the neutron lifetime

Neutron decay anomalies as a window to the BSM physics

### Zurab Berezhiani

#### Summary

Neutron Lifetime Problem

Backup



#### The Bottle Method

One way to measure how long neutrons: here is to fill a container with neutrons and empty is farer various time intervals under the same conditions to see how many remain. These tests fill is points along a curve that represents neutron decy were time. From this curve, scientistic use a simple formula to calculate the average neutron lifetime. Because neutrons occiandly escape timetion the walks of the outbits. Scientistic save just be as of the same set of the same set of the same set of the same set of many particles will escape from the bottle—to extrapolate to a hypothetical bottle that contains neutrons prefective with no losses.



▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()

$$\begin{split} \tau_{\rm trap} &= \tau_n^{\rm SM} \quad \text{neutron decay time is as predicted by SM} \\ \tau_n^{\rm SM} &< \tau_{\rm beam} \quad \text{not every neutron decay produces a proton - i.e. some} \\ \text{neutrons decay in invisible channel (at least in beam experiments)} \end{split}$$



Problem

### $\tau_n$ vs. $\beta$ -asymmetry





## SU(3) imes SU(2) imes U(1) + SU(3)' imes SU(2)' imes U(1)'

Neutron decay anomalies as a window to the BSM physics

Zurab Berezhiani

Summary

Neutron Lifetime Problem

Backup



- Two identical gauge factors, e.g.  $SU(5) \times SU(5)'$ , with identical field contents and Lagrangians:  $\mathcal{L}_{tot} = \mathcal{L} + \mathcal{L}' + \mathcal{L}_{mix}$
- Exact parity  $G \to G'$ : no new parameters in dark Lagrangian  $\mathcal{L}'$
- MM is dark (for us) and has the same gravity
- MM is identical to standard matter, (asymmetric/dissipative/atomic) but realized in somewhat different cosmological conditions:  $T'/T \ll 1$ .

• New interactions between O & M particles  $\mathcal{L}_{mix}$ 



Two parities: Everything has the End... But the Wurstle has two ends: Left and Right – or Right and Left ?

Neutron decay anomalies as a window to the BSM physics

Neutron Lifetime Problem



Doubling symmetry  $(L, R \rightarrow L, R \text{ parity})$ :  $Y' = Y \quad B - B' \rightarrow -(B - B')$ Mirror symmetry  $(L, R \to R, L \text{ parity})$ :  $Y' = Y' = B \to B \to B \to B \to B \to B' \to A \to B \to B'$ 









### B-L violation in O and M sectors: active-sterile neutrinos

Neutron decay anomalies as a window to the BSM physics

Zurab Berezhiani

Summary

Neutron Lifetime Problem

Backup

•  $\frac{1}{M}(I\bar{\phi})(I\bar{\phi}) (\Delta L = 2)$  – neutrino (seesaw) masses  $m_{\nu} \sim v^2/M$ M is the (seesaw) scale of new physics beyond EW scale.



• Neutrino -mirror neutrino mixing – (active - sterile mixing) L and L' violation:  $\frac{1}{M}(I\bar{\phi})(I\bar{\phi})$ ,  $\frac{1}{M}(I'\bar{\phi}')(I'\bar{\phi}')$  and  $\frac{1}{M}(I\bar{\phi})(I'\bar{\phi}')$ 



Mirror neutrinos are natural candidates for sterile neutrinos Akhmedov, ZB, Senjanovic 92; ZB, Mohapatræ 95000



### ${\it B}$ violating operators between O and M particles in ${\cal L}_{\rm mix}$

Neutron decay anomalies as a window to the BSM physics

Zurab Berezhiani

Summary

Neutron Lifetime Problem

Backup

- Ordinary quarks u, d (antiquarks  $\bar{u}$ ,  $\bar{d}$ ) Mirror quarks u', d' (antiquarks  $\bar{u}'$ ,  $\bar{d'}$ )
- Neutron -mirror neutron mixing (active sterile neutrons)  $\frac{1}{M^5}(udd)(udd)$  and  $\frac{1}{M^5}(udd)(u'd'd')$  (+ h.c.)



Oscillations  $n(udd) \leftrightarrow \bar{n}(\bar{u}\bar{d}\bar{d})$   $(\Delta B = 2)$  $n(udd) \rightarrow \bar{n}'(\bar{u}'\bar{d}'\bar{d}'), n'(udd) \rightarrow \bar{n}(\bar{u}\bar{d}\bar{d})$   $(\Delta B = 1, \Delta B' = -1)$ 

can co-generate Baryon asymmetries in both worlds with  $\Omega'_B \simeq 5 \,\Omega_B$ 



### Neutron- antineutron oscillation

Neutron decay anomalies as a window to the BSM physics

Zurab Berezhiani

Summary

Neutron Lifetime Problem

Backup

Majorana mass of neutron  $\epsilon(n^T C n + \bar{n}^T C \bar{n})$  violating *B* by two units comes from six-fermions effective operator  $\frac{1}{M^5}(udd)(udd)$ 



It causes transition  $n(udd) \rightarrow \bar{n}(\bar{u}d\bar{d})$ , with oscillation time  $\tau = \epsilon^{-1}$  $\varepsilon = \langle n|(udd)(udd)|\bar{n}\rangle \sim \frac{\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}^6}{M^5} \sim \left(\frac{100 \text{ TeV}}{M}\right)^5 \times 10^{-25} \text{ eV}$ 

Key moment:  $n - \bar{n}$  oscillation destabilizes nuclei:  $(A, Z) \rightarrow (A - 1, \bar{n}, Z) \rightarrow (A - 2, Z/Z - 1) + \pi$ 's



Neutron decay anomalies as a window to the BSM physics

### Free neutron- antineutron oscillation

Two states, n and  $\bar{n}$ 

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} m_n + \mu_n \mathbf{B}\sigma & \varepsilon \\ \varepsilon & m_n - \mu_n \mathbf{B}\sigma \end{pmatrix}$$

Neutron Lifetime Problem

Backup

Oscillation probability  $P_{n\bar{n}}(t) = \frac{\varepsilon^2}{\omega_B^2} \sin^2(\omega_B t), \quad \omega_B = \mu_n B$ 

If 
$$\omega_B t \gg 1$$
, then  $P_{nar{n}}(t) = rac{1}{2} (arepsilon/\omega_B)^2 = rac{(arepsilon t)^2}{(\omega_B t)^2}$ 

If  $\omega_B t < 1$ , then  $P_{nar{n}}(t) = (t/ au)^2 = (arepsilon t)^2$ 

"Quasi-free" regime: for a given free flight time t, magnetic field should be properly suppressed to achieve  $\omega_B t < 1$ . More suppression makes no sense !

Exp. Baldo-Ceolin et al, 1994 (ILL, Grenoble) :  $\tau > 0.9 \times 10^8 \text{ s} \rightarrow \varepsilon < 7.7 \times 10^{-24} \text{ eV}$ 

At ESS 2 orders of magn. better sensitivity can be achieved,  $\varepsilon \simeq 10^{-25}$  eV  $_{\odot}$ 



### Neutron – mirror neutron mixing

Effective operator  $\frac{1}{M^5}(udd)(u'd'd') \rightarrow \text{mass mixing } \epsilon nCn' + h.c.$ violating *B* and *B'* - but conserving B - B'



$$\epsilon = \langle n | (udd)(u'd'd') | \bar{n}' 
angle \sim rac{\Lambda_{
m QCD}^6}{M^5} \sim \left(rac{10 \ {
m TeV}}{M}
ight)^5 imes 10^{-15} \ {
m eV}$$

Key observation:  $n - \bar{n}'$  oscillation cannot destabilise nuclei:  $(A, Z) \rightarrow (A - 1, Z) + n'(p'e'\bar{\nu}')$  forbidden by energy conservation (In principle, it can destabilise Neutron Stars – talk of Mannarelli)

Even if  $m_n = m_{n'}$ ,  $n - \bar{n'}$  oscillation can be as fast as  $\epsilon^{-1} = \tau_{n\bar{n'}} \sim 1$ s, without contradicting experimental and astrophysical limits. (c.f.  $\tau_{n\bar{n'}} > 2.5 \times 10^8$  s for neutron – antineutron oscillation) Neutron disappearance  $n \rightarrow \bar{n'}$  and regeneration  $n \rightarrow \bar{n'} \rightarrow n$ 

Neutron decay anomalies as a window to the BSM physics

Zurab Berezhiani

Summary

Neutron Lifetime Problem

Backup



## Oscillations in non-degenerate n - n' system

Neutron decay anomalies as a window to the BSM physics

Zurab Berezhiani

Summary

Neutron Lifetime Problem

Backup

Consider n - n' system with  $\Delta m = m'_n - m_n \sim 10^{-7}$  eV and  $\epsilon \sim (1 \, {\rm TeV}/M)^5 \times 10^{-10}$  eV

Hamiltonian of  $(n_+, n_-, n'_+, n'_-)$  system (± for 2 spin states) decay width  $\Gamma_n$  is the same for all states

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} m_n - |\mu_n B| & 0 & \varepsilon & 0 \\ 0 & m_n + |\mu_n B| & 0 & \varepsilon \\ \varepsilon & 0 & m_{n'} & 0 \\ 0 & \varepsilon & 0 & m_{n'} \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $\Omega_B = |\mu_n B| = (B/1\,\mathrm{T}) imes$  60 neV

In small magnetic field ( $B \approx 0$ ) n - n' mixing angles is  $\theta_0 \approx \frac{\epsilon}{\Delta m}$ . n - n' conversion probability is  $P_{nn'} \approx \theta_0^2 \sim 10^{-6}$ .

In large magnetic field, mixing increases for + or - polarization:  $\tan 2\theta_B^{\pm} = \frac{2\varepsilon}{\Delta m \pm \Omega_B} \qquad \text{Resonance effect like MSW}$ maximal oscillation if  $\Delta m \pm \Omega_B \rightarrow 0$ 



## Experiments with material traps

Neutron decay anomalies as a window to the BSM physics

Zurab Berezhiani

Summary

Neutron Lifetime Problem

Backup

Trap experiments store UCN for a time t and compare amount of survived UCN with initial one:  $N_{surv}(t)/N_{in} = \exp(-\Gamma_{st}t)$ 

For determining  $\tau_n$ , one has to accurately estimate the UCN loss rates and subtract them:

$$\tau_n^{-1} = \Gamma_{\rm st} - \Gamma_{\rm loss}; \quad \Gamma_{\rm loss} = \langle P_{\rm loss} f_{\rm wall} \rangle.$$

In experiments with material traps (magnetic field is small).  $\Gamma_{\rm st}$  is measured for different  $f_{\rm wall}$  linearly extrapolating to  $f_{\rm wall} \rightarrow 0$ 

In fact, limit  $P_{\rm loss} < 2 \times 10^{-6}$  comes from Serebrov 2005 which reports  $\tau_n = 778.5 \pm 0.8$  s

Other trap experiments estimate about 2 times bigger  $P_{\rm loss}$  and about about 2 s more lifetimes.

I take  $P_{nn'} = \theta_0^2 \le 10^{-6}$  .... for  $\Delta m > 250$  neV larger  $\theta_0$  are allowed Average of material trap experiments:  $\tau_{mat} = 879.4 \pm 0.6$  s,

where the UCN  $n \rightarrow n'$  losses are already subtracted (together with regular losses)



## Experiments with magnetic traps

Neutron decay anomalies as a window to the BSM physics

Zurab Berezhiani

Summary

Neutron Lifetime Problem

Backup

Large surface magnetic field ( $\sim 1 \text{ T}$  with exponential gradient) reflects the UCN of one polarization (and about 10 G holding field protects the UCN from depolarization)

Also store UCN for a time t and compare amount of survived UCN with initial one:  $N_{surv}(t)/N_{in} = \exp(-\Gamma_{st}t)$ 

For determining  $\tau_n$ , estimate the UCN loss rates and subtract them:  $\tau_n^{-1} = \Gamma_{\rm st} - \Gamma_{\rm loss};$ 

The UCN losses are estimated to be almost irrelevant: about 0.2 s correction But losses per scattering are not measured and only depolarisation rate is controlled:

On the other hand,  $\Gamma_{\rm loss} = \langle f_{\rm scat} P_{nn'} \rangle$  with  $P_{nn'} \sim 10^{-6}$  would give  $1 \div 2$  s correction.

Magnetic trap  $\tau_n$ , in view of n - n' possibility, can be *underestimated*. Average of magnetic trap experiments:  $\tau_{magn} = 877.8 \pm 0.7 \text{ s}$ , where the UCN  $n \rightarrow n'$  losses are not subtracted...



Neutron decay anomalies as a window to the BSM physics

Zurab Berezhiani

Summary

Neutron Lifetime Problem

Backup



< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <



### Beam Experiments

Neutron decay anomalies as a window to the BSM physics

### Zurab Berezhiani

Summary

Neutron Lifetime Problem

Backup





$$\begin{split} \dot{N}_{p} &= e_{p} \Gamma_{\beta} P_{nn}^{\text{tr}} L \int_{A} da \int dv \frac{I(v)}{v}, \quad \dot{N}_{\alpha} = e_{\alpha} \bar{v} P_{nn}^{\text{det}} \int_{A} da \int dv \frac{I(v)}{v} \\ \tau_{\text{beam}} &= \left(\frac{e_{p} L}{e_{\alpha} \bar{v}}\right) \left(\frac{\dot{N}_{\alpha}}{\dot{N}_{p}}\right) = \frac{P_{nn}^{\text{det}}}{P_{nn}^{\text{tr}}} \tau_{\beta} \end{split}$$

・ロト ・ 理 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ



# Adiabatic or non-adiabatic (Landau-Zener) conversion ?

Neutron decay anomalies as a window to the BSM physics

Zurab Berezhiani

Summary

Neutron Lifetime Problem

Backup



 $R(z) = (d \ln B/dz)^{-1}$  – characterises the magnetic field gradient at the resonance



### Dark matter Factory ?

Neutron decay anomalies as a window to the BSM physics

Zurab Berezhiani

Summary

Neutron Lifetime Problem

Backup

If my hypothesis is correct, a simple solenoid with magnetic fields  $\sim$  Tesla can be very effective machines that transform neutrons into dark matter.

Simple experiments could test this

Adiabatic conditions can be improved and 50 % transformation can be achieved

$$\mathcal{P}_{nn'}^{\mathrm{tr}} pprox rac{\pi}{4} \xi \simeq 10^{-2} \left(rac{2 \mathrm{~km/s}}{v}
ight) \left(rac{\mathcal{P}_{on'}^0}{10^{-6}}
ight) \left(rac{B_{\mathrm{res}}}{1 \mathrm{~T}}
ight) \left(rac{\mathcal{R}_{\mathrm{res}}}{10 \mathrm{~cm}}
ight)$$

ZB, "'Neutron lifetime puzzle and neutron-mirror neutron oscillation", e-Print:arXiv:1807.07906

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <



## Sign of mirror BA: Free Energy from DM ?

Neutron decay anomalies as a window to the BSM physics

Zurab Berezhiani

Summary

Neutron Lifetime Problem

Backup

Encounter of matter and antimatter leads to immediate (uncontrollable) annihilation which can be destructive

Annihilation can take place also between our matter and dark matter, but controllable by tuning of vacuum and magnetic conditions. Dark neutrons can be transformed into our antineutrons .... E.g.  $n' \rightarrow \bar{n}$  produces our antimatter from mirror DM



Two civilisations can agree to built scientific reactors and exchange neutrons ... and turn the energy produced by each reactor in 1000 times more energy for parallel world .. and all live happy and healthy ...



### Isaak Asimov

Neutron decay anomalies as a window to the BSM physics

Zurab Berezhiani

Summary

Neutron Lifetime Problem

Backup



 First Part:
 Against Stupidity ...

 Second Part:
 ... The Gods Themselves ...

 Third Part:
 ... Contend in Vain?

"Mit der Dummheit kämpfen Götter selbst vergebens!" – Friedrich Schiller

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <



## Thank You ...

Neutron decay anomalies as a window to the BSM physics

Zurab Berezhiani

Summary

Neutron Lifetime Problem

Backup

It's wonderful to be here It's certainly a thrill You're such a lovely audience We'd love to take you home

I don't really want to stop the show But I thought that you might like to know That the singer's going to sing a song And he wants you all to sing along

We hope you have enjoyed the show We're sorry but it's time to go It's getting very near the end We'd like to thank you once again





### Problem ...

### Neutron decay anomalies as a window to the BSM physics

#### Zurab Berezhiani

Summary

Neutron Lifetime Problem

Backup

### $\tau_n$ measured in two methods are different: $\tau_{trap} < \tau_{beam}$



A few theorists have taken this notion seriously. Zurab Berezhiani of the University of L'Aquila in Italy and his colleagues have suggested such a secondary process: a free neutron, they propose, might sometimes transform into a hypothesized "mirror neutron" that no longer interacts with normal matter and would thus seem to disappear. Such mirror matter could contribute to the total amount of dark matter in the universe. Although this idea is quite stimulating, it remains highly speculative. More definitive confirmation of the divergence between the bottle and beam methods of measuring the neutron lifetime is necessary before most physicists would accept a concept as radical as mirror matter.

・ロット (雪) (日) (日) (日)

Can  $n \rightarrow n'$  conversion be plausible explanation? (by the way, what is n - n' conversion ?)



### Alice @ Mirror World - "Through the Looking-Glass" (1871)

Neutron decay anomalies as a window to the BSM physics

#### Zurab Berezhiani

Summary

Neutron Lifetim Problem

Backup

I'll tell you all my ideas about Looking-glass House. The room you can see through the glass – that's just the same as our room ... the books there are something like our books, only the words go the wrong way ... I see all of it – all but a bit just behind the fireplace. I want so to know whether they've a fire: you never can tell, unless our fire smokes, and then smoke comes up in that room too ... Oh, how nice it would be if we could get through into Looking-glass House! Let's pretend there's a way of getting through into it, somehow ... It'll be easy enough to get through I declare!'





Lewis Carroll



## Parity Violation & Mirror Fermions – Lee and Yang, 1956

Neutron decay anomalies as a window to the BSM physics

Zurab Berezhiani

Summary

Neutron Lifetime Problem

Backup

The conservation of parity is usually accepted without questions concerning its possible limit of validity being asked. The is actually no *a priori* reason why its violation is undesirable. Its violation implies the existence of right-left asymmetry and we have shown in the above some possible experimental tests os this asymmetry ...



If such asymmetry is indeed found, the question could still be raised whether there could not exist corresponding elementary particles exhibiting opposite asymmetry such that in the broader sense there will still be over-all right-left symmetry. If this is the case, there must exist two kinds of protons  $p_R$  and  $p_L$ , the right-handed one and the left-handed one. At the present time the protons in the laboratory must be predominantly of one kind to produce the supposedly observed asymmetry. This means that the free oscillation period between them must be longer than the age of the Universe. They could therefore both be regarded as stable particles. The numbers of  $p_R$  and  $p_L$  must be separately conserved. Both  $p_R$  and  $p_L$ could interact with the same E-M field and perhaps the same pion field ...



### Mirror Fermions as parallel sector - Kobzarev, Okun, Pomeranchuk, 1966

Neutron decay anomalies as a window to the BSM physics

Zurab Berezhiani

Summary

Neutron Lifetim Problem

Backup



In connection with the discovery of CP violation, we discuss the possibility that "mirror" (R) particles exist in addition to the ordinary (L) particles. The introduction of these particles reestablishes the equivalence of left and right. It is shown that mirror particles cannot interact with ordinary particles strongly, semistrongly or electromagnetically. L and R particles must have the same gravitational interactions. The possibility of existence and detection of macroscopic bodies (stars) made up of R-matter is discussed.

This papers were written before the Standard Model ...



### Co-baryogenesis: B-L violating interactions between O and M worlds

Neutron decay anomalies as a window to the BSM physics

Zurab Berezhiani

Summary

Neutron Lifetim Problem

Backup

L and L' violating operators  $\frac{1}{M}(I\bar{\phi})(I\bar{\phi})$  and  $\frac{1}{M}(I\bar{\phi})(I'\bar{\phi}')$  lead to processes  $I\phi \to \bar{I}\phi$  ( $\Delta L = 2$ ) and  $I\phi \to \bar{I}'\bar{\phi}'$  ( $\Delta L = 1$ ,  $\Delta L' = 1$ )



After inflation, our world is heated and mirror world is empty: but ordinary particle scatterings transform them into mirror particles, heating also mirror world.

- These processes should be out-of-equilibrium
- Violate baryon numbers in both worlds, B L and B' L'
- Violate also CP, given complex couplings
- Green light to celebrated conditions of Sakharov can explain  $\Omega'_B/\Omega_B \simeq 5$ Bento and ZB, 2001; ZB 2003



## Discrepancy between trap and beam methods

Neutron decay anomalies as a window to the BSM physics

Zurab Berezhiani

Summary

Neutron Lifetime Problem

Backup

Beam method measures neutron  $\beta$ -decay  $(n \rightarrow p e \bar{\nu}_e)$  width  $\Gamma_{\beta} = \tau_{\beta}^{-1}$ 

Trap method measures neutron total decay width  $\Gamma_n = \tau_n^{-1}$ 

Standard Model (and common wisdom of baryon conservation) tell that both should be the same,  $\Gamma_n = \Gamma_\beta$  But ...





### The Neutron Dark Decay

Neutron decay anomalies as a window to the BSM physics

Zurab Berezhiani

Summary

Neutron Lifetim Problem

Backup

If this discrepancy is real (not due to some yet unknown systematics)

then New Physics should be invoked which could consistently explain the relations between the neutron decay width  $\Gamma_n$ ,  $\beta$ -decay rate  $\Gamma_\beta$ , and the measured values  $\tau_{\rm trap}$  and  $\tau_{\rm beam}$ 

Some time ago I proposed a way out assuming that the neutron has a new decay channel  $n \to n'X$  into a 'dark neutron' n' and light bosons X among which a photon, due to a mass gap  $m_n - m_{n'} \simeq 1$  MeV. Then  $\Gamma_{\beta} = \tau_{\rm beam}^{-1}$  and  $\Gamma_n = \Gamma_{\beta} + \Gamma_{\rm new} = \tau_{\rm trap}^{-1}$ ,

 $\tau_{\rm trap}/\tau_{\rm beam}$  discrepancy could be explained by a branching ratio  ${\rm Br}(n \to n' X) = \Gamma_{\rm new}/\Gamma_n \simeq 0.01.$ 



## n - n' transitional magnetic moment

Neutron decay anomalies as a window to the BSM physics

Zurab Berezhiani

Summary

Neutron Lifetiı Problem

Backup

n - n' mass mixing  $\epsilon nCn' + h.c.$ 

and transitional magnetic (electric) dipole moments  $\mu_{nn'}(F_{\mu\nu} + F'_{\mu\nu})nC\sigma^{\mu\nu}n' + h.c.$ 

Hamiltonian of n and n' system becomes

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} m_n + \mu_n \mathbf{B}\sigma & \epsilon + x\mu_n(\mathbf{B} + \mathbf{B}')\sigma \\ \epsilon + x\mu_n(\mathbf{B} + \mathbf{B}')\sigma & m'_n + \mu_n \mathbf{B}'\sigma \end{pmatrix}, \quad x = \frac{\mu_{nn'}}{\mu_n}$$

Interplay of  $\epsilon$  and  $\mu_{nn'}$  can alleviate problem ....



### Toccata: invisible decay

 $(\sigma/m \sim 1b/\text{GeV})$ 

Neutron decay anomalies as a window to the BSM physics

### Zurab Berezhiani

Summary

Neutron Lifetim Problem

Backup

Imagine that mirror parity is not perfect, but it is mildly broken (e.g. by some parity odd scalar)

So that particle masses in O and M sectors have tiny differences:

$$m_n > m_n', \; m_n - m_n' = \Delta m \leq 1$$
 MeV, and  $|m_p' - m_n'| \simeq MeV$ 

Now free neutron can decay in invisible mode  $n \rightarrow n' + \eta$ , where  $\eta$  can be some massless boson. E.g. it can be Goldstone if mass mixing term  $\beta nCn' + h.c.$  emerges via spontaneous breaking of  $U(1)_B \times U(1)'_B$  by some Higgs  $\chi(1, 1)$ .

Trap method – the neutron total width:  $\tau_{dec}^{-1} = \Gamma_{tot} = \Gamma_{vis} + \Gamma_{inv}$ beam method –  $\beta$ -decay width  $\Gamma_{vis}(n \rightarrow pe\bar{\nu}) = \tau_{beam}^{-1} \simeq 10^{-27}$  GeV.  $\Gamma_{inv}(n \rightarrow n'\eta) \simeq 10^{-29}$  will suffice for 1 % discrepancy ... If  $m'_p > m_p > m'_p$ , n' can be self-interacting DM



## ... and Fuga: not so invisible decay via $\mu_{nn'}$

Neutron decay anomalies as a window to the BSM physics

Zurab Berezhiani

Summary

Neutron Lifetim Problem

Backup

Decay via transitional magnetic moment  $\Gamma(n \to n'\gamma', \gamma) = \frac{1}{8\pi} \mu_{nn'}^2 m_n^3 \left(1 - \frac{m_n'^2}{m_n^2}\right)^2 = 4\alpha^2 x^2 m_n (\Delta m/m_n)^3$ 

Branching  ${\rm Br}(n'\gamma)\simeq 10^{-2}$  can be obtained then for  $\Delta m\simeq 1$  MeV and  $x=\mu_{nn'}/\mu_n\sim 10^{-9}$ 

Imagine what incredible consequences for Neutron Star transformations ....

To be Continued ..... Stay Tuned !

These were slides of my talk

"Unusual effects in n - n' conversion"

at INT Workshop INT-17-69W, Seattle, 23-27 Oct. 2017,

http://www.int.washington.edu/talks/WorkShops/int-17-69W/People/Berezhiani-Z/Berezhiani3.pdf



# Problem: $\tau_n$ vs. superallowed $0^+ - 0^+$ and $\beta$ -asymmetry

Neutron decay anomalies as a window to the BSM physics

Zurab Berezhiani

Summary

Neutron Lifetin Problem

Backup



### Brown et al, et al., arXiv:1712.00884

Can BSM physics help? new contribution to  $\beta$  decay  $n \rightarrow pe\bar{\nu}_e$ , E.g. scalar formfactor mediated by charged scalar (extra Higgs doublet) - Cannot not help!



## Implications of the Neutron Dark Decay

Neutron decay anomalies as a window to the BSM physics

Zurab Berezhiani

Summary

Neutron Lifetim Problem

Backup



 $\begin{array}{l} \operatorname{Br}(n \to \chi \gamma) = 0.01 \quad \operatorname{Br}(n \to n' \gamma) = \operatorname{Br}(n \to n' \gamma') = 0.004 \\ \operatorname{Br}(n \to n' \gamma) = 0.001, \operatorname{Br}(n \to n' \gamma') = 0.009 \end{array}$ 

 $m_{n'} > m_p + m_e$ , DM decays  $n' \to pear{
u}_e$  ( $au = 10^{14}, 10^{15}, 10^{16}, 10^{17}$  yr)  $m_{n'} < m_p + m_e$ , Hydrogen atom decays  $pe \to n'\nu_e$  ( $au = 10^{20}, 10^{21}, 10^{22}$  yr)



## Hydrogen Lifetime ?

Neutron decay anomalies as a window to the BSM physics

Zurab Berezhiani

Summary

Neutron Lifetim Problem

Backup

There is more stupidity than hydrogen in the universe, and it has a longer lifetime. – Frank Zappa

Two things are infinite: the universe and human stupidity; but I'm not sure about the universe. – Albert Einstein

・ロト ・ 理 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ



### ... Curiosity

Neutron decay anomalies as a window to the BSM physics

Zurab Berezhiani

Summary

Neutron Lifetime Problem

Backup

Evidently, some people stayed tuned .... after couple of months

Fornal and Grinstein, "Dark Matter Interpretation of the Neutron Decay Anomaly," arXiv:1801.01124

– all as in above but  $n' \to \chi$  becomes elementary particle

followed by a train of publications

Tang *et al.*, "Search for the Neutron Decay  $n \rightarrow X + \gamma$  where X is a dark matter particle," arXiv:1802.01595 – no such decay observed

Czarnecki, Marciano, Sirlin, "The Neutron Lifetime and Axial Coupling Connection," arXiv:1802.01804 – tension with measured asymmetries

Serebrov *et al.*, "Neutron lifetime, dark matter and search for sterile neutrino," arXiv:1802.06277 – chain reactions and reactor neutrinos

McKeen, Nelson, Reddy, Zhou, "Neutron stars exclude light dark baryons", arXiv:1802.08244 - no NS could exist ...

## R.I.P



### $au_n$ vs. eta-asymmetry

