Cosmological phase transition in the Standard Model with hidden scale invariance

Archil Kobakhidze





based on arXiv: 1701.04927, 1707.05942, 1710.xxxxx, with Shelley Liang, Suntharan Arunasalam, Cyril Lagger and Albert Zhou



Outline

- Higgs and naturalness (again)
- Scale invariant Standard Model with light dilaton
- Electroweak phase transition in the Standard Model with light dilaton and its implications

Conclusion



ATLAS+CMS, JHEP 08 (2016) 045



- Higgs with mh=125 GeV is somewhat heavy than in typical supersymmetric models and somewhat light than typical prediction of technicolour models.
- People started to question the validity of the naturalness principle.
- My personal point of view: The naturalness principle reflects our current understanding of basics of QFT. A failure of naturalness would mean that these basics must be fundamentally reviewed.

- P. Dirac was the first who recognised importance of naturalness in quantum physics. He asserted that all the dimensionless parameters of a theory must be of the same order of magnitude (strong naturalness principle) – why? – because in quantum theory all the parameters are related to each other via quantum corrections!
- Dirac's Large (Small) Number Hypothesis:

Gravity/EM
$$\alpha \left(\frac{m_e}{M_P}\right) \left(\frac{m_p}{M_P}\right) \approx 10^{-40}$$
 is $= \left(\frac{m_p}{M_U}\right)^{1/2} \approx 10^{-40}$

Predicts time variation of Newton's constant, which turned out to be at odds with observations.

• Lesson: The principle applies to microscopic parameters. Macroscopic parameters, such as mass of the universe M_U can be random (maybe CC is the same?).

• G. 't Hooft: Dimensionless parameter can be small if it is supported by a symmetry (technical naturalness):

$$\left(\frac{m_e}{M_P}\right) << 1 - \text{ chiral symmetry}$$

$$\left(rac{m_p}{M_P}
ight) << 1-$$
 dimensional transmutation in QCD, a.k.a. scale invariance

Higgs mass naturalness:

$$\left(\frac{m_h}{M_P}\right) << 1- \ ???$$

- Consider an effective theory with a 'physical' cut-off Λ, which contains scalars, S, fermions, F, and vector fields, V.
- 1-loop scalar mass term:

$$m_{S}^{2}(\mu) = m_{S}^{2}(\Lambda) + \frac{1}{32\pi^{2}} \text{STr } g_{A} \left[\Lambda^{2} - M_{A}^{2} \ln \left(\Lambda^{2}/\mu^{2} \right) \right]$$

STr $\equiv (-1)^{2J_{A}} (2J_{A} + 1)$

- $m_S^2 << \Lambda^2$ requires fine-tuning and thus is unnatural (hierarchy problem)
- According to 't Hooft we need a symmetry to remove quadratic dependence on UV scale

 Supersymmetry Non-renormalisation theorem:

> STr $g_A = 0$ (holds in for softly broken supersymmetry) STr $g_A M_A^2 = 0$

Quadratic divergences are absent in softly broken supersymmetry!

Scale invariance

$$m_S^2(\mu = \Lambda) = 0 \rightarrow \bar{m}_S^2(\Lambda) + \mathrm{STr}g_A\Lambda^2 = 0$$

Classical scale invariance is broken spontaneously and explicitly by logarithmic quantum corrections,

$$T^{\mu}_{\mu} = \sum_{i} \beta_{i} \mathcal{O}_{i}$$
 - dimensional transmutation

Tbilisi, Georgia, 25-30 Sep 2017

 Consider SM as an effective Wilsonian theory with 'physical' cutoff Λ.

 $V(\Phi^{\dagger}\Phi) = V_0(\Lambda) + \lambda(\Lambda) \left[\Phi^{\dagger}\Phi - v_{ew}^2(\Lambda)\right]^2 + \dots,$

• Assume, the 'fundamental' theory exhibits conformal invariance, which is spontaneously broken down to the Poincare invariance,

$$SO(2,4) \rightarrow ISO(1,3)$$

Only one scalar (pseudo) Goldstone is relevant in the low energy theory, the dilaton, $\chi(x)$

• Promote all dimensionfull parameters in the low energy action to $\chi(x)$ [Coleman, '85]:

$$\Lambda \to \Lambda \frac{\chi}{f_{\chi}} \equiv \alpha \chi, \quad v_{ew}^2(\Lambda) \to \frac{v_{ew}^2(\alpha \chi)}{f_{\chi}^2} \chi^2 \equiv \frac{\xi(\alpha \chi)}{2} \chi^2, \quad V_0(\Lambda) \to \frac{V_0(\alpha \chi)}{f_{\chi}^4} \chi^4 \equiv \frac{\rho(\alpha \chi)}{4} \chi^4$$

Tbilisi, Georgia, 25-30 Sep 2017

Theory becomes manifestly scale invariant (up to quantum anomaly):

$$V(\Phi^{\dagger}\Phi,\chi) = \lambda(\alpha\chi) \left[\Phi^{\dagger}\Phi - \frac{\xi(\alpha\chi)}{2}\chi^{2}\right]^{2} + \frac{\rho(\alpha\chi)}{4}\chi^{4}$$

 $\lambda^{(i)}(\alpha\chi) = \lambda^{(i)}(\mu) + \beta_{\lambda^{(i)}}(\mu) \ln(\alpha\chi/\mu) + \beta'_{\lambda^{(i)}}(\mu) \ln^2(\alpha\chi/\mu) + \dots,$

$$\beta_{\lambda^{(i)}}(\mu) = \left. \frac{\partial \lambda^{(i)}}{\partial \ln \chi} \right|_{\alpha \chi = \mu} \sim \mathcal{O}(\hbar) \ , \ \ \beta'_{\lambda^{(i)}}(\mu) = \left. \frac{\partial^2 \lambda^{(i)}}{\partial (\ln \chi)^2} \right|_{\alpha \chi = \mu} \sim \mathcal{O}(\hbar^2) \ , \dots$$

• At leading order dilaton-SM interactions are given by:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\chi-SM} \propto \frac{\chi}{f_{\chi}} T^{\mu}_{\mu}$$
 (SM anomaly)

Tbilisi, Georgia, 25-30 Sep 2017

 Find vacuum configuration + impose cancelation condition on vacuum energy:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dV}{d\chi}\Big|_{\Phi=\langle\Phi\rangle,\chi=\langle\chi\rangle} &= 0 & \rho(\Lambda) = 0 ,\\ \frac{dV}{d\Phi}\Big|_{\Phi=\langle\Phi\rangle,\chi=\langle\chi\rangle} &= 0 &\Longrightarrow & \xi(\Lambda) = \frac{v_{ew}^2}{v_{\chi}^2} .\\ V(v_{ew},v_{\chi}) &= 0 & & & \\ \end{aligned}$$

Scalar mass spectrum:

$$\begin{split} m_h^2 &\simeq 2\lambda(\Lambda) v_{ew}^2 \ , \\ m_\chi^2 &\simeq \frac{\beta'_\rho(\Lambda)}{4\xi(\Lambda)} v_{ew}^2 \propto m_h^2 \xi \ , \\ \sin \alpha &\sim \sqrt{\xi} \end{split}$$

Tbilisi, Georgia, 25-30 Sep 2017



Figure 1: Plot of the allowed range of parameters (shaded region) with $m_{\chi}^2(v_{ew}) > 0$, i.e., the electroweak vacuum being a minimum. The solid line displays the cut-off scale Λ as function of the top-quark mass m_t for which the conditions in Eq. (6) are satisfied.

```
• If \Lambda \sim 10^{19} GeV, m_{\chi} \sim 10^{-8} \text{ eV!}
```



FIG. 1. Scalar field parameter space, with mass m_{ϕ} and corresponding DM oscillation frequency $f_{\phi} = m_{\phi}/2\pi$ on the bottom and top horizontal axes, and couplings of both an electron mass modulus ($d_i = d_{m_e}$) and electromagnetic gauge modulus ($d_i = d_e$) on the vertical axis. Natural parameter space for a 10 TeV cutoff is depicted in green, while the other regions and dashed curves represent 95% CL limits from fifth-force tests ("5F", gray), equivalence-principle tests ("EP", orange), atomic spectroscopy in dysprosium ("Dy", purple), and low-frequency terrestrial seismology ("Earth", black). The blue curve shows the projected SNR = 1 reach of a proposed resonant-mass detector—a copper-silicon (Cu-Si) sphere 30 cm in radius—after 1.6 y of integration time, while the red curve shows the reach for the current AURIGA detector with 8 y of recasted data. Rough estimates of the 1-y reach of a proposed DUAL detector (pink) and several harmonics of two piezoelectric quartz resonators (gold points) are also shown.

taken from arXiv:1508.01798

- Higgs-dilaton potential: the energy densities at the origin and at the electroweak vev are degenerate and are separated by a very small barrier (flat direction lifted by 2-loop quantum corrections).
- Thermal barrier is also generated which implies that the critical temperature of the transition is T_c=0.
- QCD condensates drive the electroweak phase transition! (Witten 81')

$$V_T(h,\chi) = \frac{\lambda(\Lambda)}{4} \left[h^2 - \frac{v_{ew}^2}{v_{\chi}^2} \chi^2 \right]^2 + \sum_i n_i (-1)^{2s_i+1} \left[\frac{m_i^4}{32\pi^2} \log \frac{\alpha\chi}{m_i} - \frac{1}{2\pi^2} T^4 J_i(m_i^2/T^2) \right]^2$$

• High temperature/small field expansion:

$$\begin{aligned} V_T(h,\chi) &= \frac{\lambda(\Lambda)}{4} \left[h^2 - \frac{v_{ew}^2}{v_{\chi}^2} \chi^2 \right]^2 \\ &+ c(h)\pi^2 T^4 - \frac{\lambda(\Lambda)}{24} \frac{v_{ew}^2}{v_{\chi}^2} \chi^2 T^2 + \frac{1}{48} \left[6\lambda(\Lambda) + 6y_t^2(\Lambda) + \frac{9}{2}g^2(\Lambda) + \frac{3}{2}g'^2(\Lambda) \right] h^2 T^2 \end{aligned}$$

Solve for the dilaton field:

$$\chi^2 = \frac{v_{\chi}^2}{v_{ew}^2}h^2 + \frac{T^2}{12}$$

• The Higgs potential becomes:

$$V_T(h,\chi(h)) = \left[c(h)\pi^2 - \frac{\lambda(\Lambda)}{576} \frac{v_{ew}^2}{v_{\chi}^2} (2 + v_{ew}^2/v_{\chi}^2)\right] T^4 + \frac{1}{48} \left[4\lambda(\Lambda) + 6y_t^2(\Lambda) + \frac{9}{2}g^2(\Lambda) + \frac{3}{2}g'^2(\Lambda)\right] h^2 T^2$$

- $4\lambda(\Lambda) + 6y_t^2(\Lambda) + \frac{9}{2}g^2(\Lambda) + \frac{3}{2}g'^2(\Lambda) > 0 \implies h=0$ is a local minimum for any T.
- If so, the universe would be trapped in symmetric vacuum *h*=0.

• In h=0 vacuum all quarks are massless. SU(6)xSU(6) chiral symmetry is broken at $T_c \sim 132$ MeV. The quark condensate break the electroweak symmetry as well.

$$\langle \bar{q}q \rangle_T = \langle \bar{q}q \rangle \left[1 - (N^2 - 1) \frac{T^2}{12Nf_\pi^2} - \frac{1}{2} (N^2 - 1) \left(\frac{T^2}{12Nf_\pi^2} \right)^2 + \mathcal{O}\left((T^2/12Nf_\pi^2)^3 \right) \right]$$

$$\langle \bar{q}q \rangle \approx -(250 \text{ MeV})^3$$

(Gasser & Leutwyler, 86')

Higgs-quark Yukawa interactions: $y_q \langle \bar{q}q \rangle_T h/\sqrt{2}$

• $y_q \langle \bar{q}q \rangle_T / \sqrt{2} + \frac{\partial V_T}{\partial h} = 0 \rightarrow h=0$ is no more an extremum

 Quark condensate tips the Higgs field from the origin, which 'runs down' classically towards the electroweak minimum, smoothly and quickly completing the transition



Figure 2: $V_T(h) - V_T(0)$ for different temperatures below the chiral phase transition.

- QCD with *N*=6 quarks undergoes first-order phase transition, unlike the standard case with *N*=3.
- Gravitational waves with peak frequency ~10⁻⁸ Hz, potentially detectable by means of pulsar timing (EPTA, SKA...)
- Production of primordial black holes with mass $M_{bh} \sim M_{\odot}$
- Cold electroweak baryogenesis(?)

Conclusion

- Scale invariant theories predict a light feebly copupled dilaton.
- Electroweak phase transition driven by the QCD chiral phase transition and occurs at *T*~130 MeV.
- QCD phase transiotion could be strongly first order => gravitational waves, black holes, cold baryogenesis.
- Detection of a light scalar particle + the above astrophysical signatures will provide strong evidence for the fundamental role of scale invariance in particle physics and cosmology.